arXiv:1604.01477v1 [math.RT] 6 Apr 2016

ON TWO COHOMOLOGICAL HALL ALGEBRAS

YAPING YANG AND GUFANG ZHAO

Abstract. In [YZ15], for each quiver Q and each algebraic oriented cohomology theory A, the preprojective cohomological Hall algebra (CoHA) of Q was introduced, as the A-homology of the moduli of representations of the preprojective algebra of Q, which generalizes the K-theoretic Hall algebra of commuting varieties defined by Schiffmann-Vasserot [SV12]. In the present paper, for the quiver with potential of Ginzburg, we compare the critical CoHA defined by Kontsevich-Soibelman with the preprojective CoHA. As applications, we obtain an embedding of the positive part of the Yangian into the critical CoHA.

Contents

0. Introduction 1
1. Reminder on the preprojective cohomological Hall algebras 4
2. Quiver with potentials and a cut 7
3. The critical CoHA 14
4. Conclusions 17
Appendix A. The algebras $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ 20
Appendix B. Borel-Moore homology and critical cohomology 24
References 26

0. Introduction

In this paper we study the relation between two cohomological Hall algebras (CoHA). One arises from the study of the symmetry of the cohomology of Nakajima quiver varieties; the other from Donaldson-Thomas theory of 3-Calabi-Yau categories.

The former CoHA, called the preprojective CoHA, was introduced in [YZ15] for each quiver Q and each algebraic oriented cohomology theory (OCT) A in the sense of Levine-Morel [LM07]. This is a CoHA associated to the 2-Calabi-Yau category of representations of the preprojective algebra of the quiver Q. The preprojective CoHA was used to construct an affine quantum group associated to $\mathfrak{g}_Q$ and A, which acts on the A-homology of quiver varieties. Nakajima-type operators are lifted as elements in the preprojective CoHA. In the case when A is the Chow group, we recovered the action of the Yangian on quiver varieties constructed in [Va00]. Another special case when A is the K-theory and Q is the Jordan quiver, the preprojective CoHA is the K-theoretic Hall algebra of commuting varieties studied by Schiffmann-Vasserot, which has been proven isomorphic to the elliptic Hall algebra in [SV12]. The preprojective CoHA is used in [YZ1] to construct affine quantum groups coming from arbitrary formal group law, which includes the quantizations of Manin triples

Date: April 7, 2016.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 17B37; Secondary 14F43, 55N22.
Key words and phrases. Quiver variety, Hall algebra, Yangian, quiver with potentials.

in [D86, § 4] as special cases, and in [YZ2] to construct a Drinfeld realization of the elliptic quantum group and its action on the equivariant elliptic cohomology of quiver varieties which was previously unknown.

The latter CoHA was defined by Kontsevich-Soibelman in the cornerstone work [KoSo11], associated to the 3-Calabi-Yau category of representations of a quiver with potential, called the critical CoHA. Recall associated to any quiver with potential, there is a Jacobian algebra J_W, which is defined as the quotient of the path algebra by the ideal generated by the derivatives of the potential ([DWZ08], see also § 2.1). The category of modules over J_W is a 3-Calabi-Yau category. Kontsevich-Soibelman constructed a critical CoHA, whose underlying vector space is the critical cohomology of the representation space of J_W [KoSo11]. Here critical cohomology is the cohomology valued in a vanishing cycle.

In the present paper, for the class of quivers with potential studied by Ginzburg in [Gin09], we construct an isomorphism of the corresponding preprojective CoHA and the critical CoHA. Thus, the present paper can be considered as a step towards establishing a relation between instanton counting and Donaldson-Thomas invariants of Calabi-Yau 3-folds. Such a relation has been predicted in special cases on the level of generating functions in the study of geometric engineering (see [Sz12]). As an application of our comparison, we obtain an algebra embedding of the positive part of Yangian into the critical CoHA.

More precisely, these two CoHAs we considered here are as follows. Let $Q=(I,H)$ be a quiver, with set of vertices I and arrows H. Let $\mathrm{Rep}(Q,v)$ be the affine space parametrizing representations of Q with dimension vector $v=(v^i)_{i\in I}\in\mathbb{N}^I$. The vector space $\mathrm{Rep}(Q,v)$ carries a natural action of $G_v=\prod_{i\in I}\mathrm{GL}_{v^i}$. Let $\mu_v: T^*\mathrm{Rep}(Q,v)\to\mathfrak{gl}_v^*$ be the moment map of the cotangent bundle of $\mathrm{Rep}(Q,v)$. The torus $T=\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}{}^2$ acts on $T^*\mathrm{Rep}(Q,v)$ with the first $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}$-factor scaling $\mathrm{Rep}(Q,v)$ and the second one scaling the fibers of $T^*\mathrm{Rep}(Q,v)$ (see [YZ15, Assumption 3.1] for the conditions on the weight of this action). We set

$$ {}^{A}\mathcal{P}(Q):=\bigoplus_{v\in\mathbb{N}^I}{}^{A}\mathcal{P}_v(Q)=\bigoplus_{v\in\mathbb{N}^I}A_{T\times G_v}(\mu_v^{-1}(0)).$$

There is a multiplication, defined in [YZ15] and recalled in § 1, making ${}^{A}\mathcal{P}(Q)$ an associative algebra, called the *preprojective cohomological Hall algebra* (preprojective CoHA). Let $\mathfrak{M}(v,w)$ be the Nakajima quiver variety with dimension vectors $v,w\in\mathbb{N}^I$ and stability condition θ^+. By [YZ15, Theorem B], ${}^{A}\mathcal{P}(Q)$ acts on the A-homology of the Nakajima quiver varieties

$$ {}^{A}\mathcal{M}(w):=\bigoplus_{v\in\mathbb{N}^I}A_{G_w\times T}(\mathfrak{M}(v,w)).$$

When A is the Chow group, ${}^{\mathrm{CH}}\mathcal{P}$ is isomorphic to the positive part of the Yangian. The action on the Chow group of quiver varieties is compatible with the Yangian action in [Va00]. In this paper we consider the preprojective CoHA in the setting of Borel-Moore homology ${}^{\mathrm{BM}}\mathcal{P}=\bigoplus_{v\in\mathbb{N}^I}H^{\mathrm{BM}}_{G_v\times T}(\mu_v^{-1}(0);\mathbb{Q})$ endowed with multiplication defined the same way. The algebra ${}^{\mathrm{BM}}\mathcal{P}$ acts on ${}^{\mathrm{BM}}\mathcal{M}(w)$.

On the other hand, for the same quiver $Q=(I,H)$, we can define an extended quiver with potential. Let $\widehat{Q}:=\overline{Q}\sqcup C$ be the extended quiver defined by Ginzburg in [Gin09]. The set of vertices of $\widehat{Q}$ is I, and its set of arrows is $H\sqcup H^{\mathrm{op}}\sqcup C$. Here, H^{op} is in bijection with H, and for each $a\in H$, the corresponding arrow in H^{op}, denoted by a^*, is a with orientation reversed. The

set C is $\{l_i \mid i \in I\}$, with l_i an edge loop at the vertex $i \in I$. Consider the potential

$$W := \sum_{i \in I} l_i \cdot \sum_{a \in H} [a, a^*],$$

of the quiver $\widehat{Q}$. Let $\mathscr{H} = \bigoplus_{v \in \mathbb{N}^I} \mathscr{H}_v$ be the critical CoHA associated to $(\widehat{Q}, W)$ defined by Kontsevich and Soibelman [KoSo11] and recalled in §2.

These two CoHAs are related in the following way.

Theorem A (Theorems 3.1 and 4.4)**.** With the T-action on the representation space of J_W described in Assumption 4.2, the following holds.

(1) For any $w \in \mathbb{N}^I$, there is a homomorphism of $\mathbb{N}^I$-graded $\mathbb{Q}[t_1, t_2]$-algebras

$$a^{\mathrm{crit}} : \mathscr{H} \to \mathrm{End}\left({}^{\mathrm{BM}}\mathcal{M}(w)\right).$$

(2) For any $v \in \mathbb{N}^I$, denote $\prod_{i \in I} (-1)^{\binom{v^i}{2}}$ by $(-1)^{\binom{v}{2}}$. There is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{N}^I$-graded associative algebras $\Xi : {}^{\mathrm{BM}}\mathcal{P} \to \mathscr{H}$ whose restriction to the degree-v piece is

$$\Xi_v : {}^{\mathrm{BM}}\mathcal{P}_v \to \mathscr{H}_v, \quad f \mapsto f \cdot (-1)^{\binom{v}{2}}.$$

(3) In the setup of (2), we have

$$a^{\mathrm{crit}}\big(\Xi_{v_1}(x)\big)\big(m \cdot (-1)^{\binom{v_2}{2}}\big) = \big(a^{\mathrm{prepr}}(x)(m)\big) \cdot (-1)^{\binom{v_1+v_2}{2}})$$

for any $w, v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{N}^I$, $x \in \mathcal{P}_{v_1}$, and $m \in H^{\mathrm{BM}}_{G_w \times T}(\mathfrak{M}(v_2, w))$.

A direct consequence of [YZ15, Theorem D] and Theorem A is the existence of an injective algebra homomorphism $Y^+_\hbar(\mathfrak{g}_Q) \to \widetilde{\mathscr{H}}|_{t_1=t_2=\hbar/2}$, where $\widetilde{\mathscr{H}}$ is the sign twist of $\mathscr{H}$ coming from the Euler-Ringel form (see Corollary 4.5 for the precise statement).

The conditions on the torus action here is essential for Theorem A to be true. Note that the equivariant parameter of the torus is the quantization parameter of the Yangian. In Appendix A, we investigate the two CoHAs when the T-action has different weights than Assumption 4.2. We obtain an algebra homomorphism from one to a twisted version of the other.

The main technical ingredient in passing from the critical cohomology to the Borel-Moore homology is the dimension reduction [Da15a, Theorem A1], which is a cohomological version of the dimension reduction [BBS13]. In Appendix B, we prove that the dimension reduction is compatible with certain pull-backs and push-forwards. This allows us to give a description of the critical CoHA in terms of Borel-Moore homology, and hence prove Theorem A. Along the way, we also establish a shuffle description of the critical CoHA in this case.

In special cases, quiver varieties are instanton moduli spaces, and their Euler characteristic can be viewed as instanton counting. In other words, the Euler characteristic of certain modules of the preprojective CoHA yields invariants of instantons. On the other hand, in [KoSo11], the generating series of the critical CoHA are defined as generalization of the Donaldson-Thomas invariants of 3-folds. Therefore, we consider Theorem A as a step towards establishing a relation between instanton counting and Donaldson-Thomas invariants of 3-folds. A precise problem in this direction was raised by Soibelman in [S14, § 1.4c)], i.e., in the case of PT-moduli of resolved conifold, find the relation between operators from the critical CoHA and the Nakajima-type operators on $\coprod_n \mathrm{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{A}^2)$. This special case will be discussed in Example 4.7.

The results of the present paper were first published on the arXiv as a part of [YZ15], and was split from *loc. cit.* for expositional purposes. After the results were published, in the appendix to [RS15], Ben Davison independently proved a result similar to Theorem A(2), through an entirely

different approach, although both rely on [Da15a]. The present paper features a 2-dimensional torus action and precise conditions on the action which make Theorem A holds equivariantly.

Acknowledgments. This paper is motivated by a discussion one of us had with Sergey Mozgovoy in the spring school on Kac conjectures and quiver varieties at Wuppertal in 2015. The authors thank Ben Davison for pointing out an error in an earlier version of Theorem 3.2, and for drawing our attention to the appendix of [RS15]. Most of the work was done when Y.Y. was hosted by MPIM and G.Z. was supported by Fondation Sciences Mathématiques de Paris and CNRS.

1. Reminder on the preprojective cohomological Hall algebras

In this section, we review the preprojective CoHA defined in [YZ15].

1.1. **Lagrangian formalism.** Let X be a smooth quasi-projective variety endowed with an action of a reductive algebraic group G. The cotangent bundle T^*X is a symplectic variety. The induced action of G on T^*X is Hamiltonian. Let $\mu : T^*X \to (\operatorname{Lie} G)^*$ be the moment map. Following [SV12], we denote $\mu^{-1}(0) \subseteq T^*X$ by $T^*_G X$.

Let $P \subset G$ be a parabolic subgroup and $L \subset P$ be a Levi subgroup. Let Y be a smooth quasi-projective variety equipped an action of L, and X' smooth quasi-projective with a G-action. Let $\mathcal{V} \subseteq Y \times X'$ be a smooth subvariety. Let $\mathrm{pr}_1, \mathrm{pr}_2$ be the two projections restricted on $\mathcal{V}$

$$Y \xleftarrow{\mathrm{pr}_1} \mathcal{V} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}_2} X' .$$

Assume the first projection pr_1 is a vector bundle, and the second projection pr_2 is a closed embedding. Let $X := G \times_P Y$ be the twisted product. Set $W := G \times_P \mathcal{V}$ and consider the following maps

$$X \xleftarrow{f} W \xrightarrow{g} X'$$

$$f : [(g, v)] \mapsto [(g, \mathrm{pr}_1(v))], \quad g : [(g, v)] \mapsto g\, \mathrm{pr}_2(v),$$

where $[(g, v)]$ is the pair $(g, v) \mod P$. Note that the natural map $T^*X \to G \times_P T^*Y$ is a vector bundle, with the zero-section $G \times_P T^*Y \hookrightarrow T^*X$.

Let $Z := T^*_W(X \times X')$ be the conormal bundle of W in $X \times X'$. Let $Z_G \subseteq T^*_G X \times T^*_G X'$ be the intersection $Z \cap (T^*_G X \times T^*_G X')$. There is an isomorphism $G \times_P T^*_L Y \cong T^*_G X$ fitting into the following diagram (see, e.g., [SV12])

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} G \times_P T^*_L Y & \xrightarrow{\cong} & T^*_G X & \xleftarrow{\overline{\phi}} & Z_G & \xrightarrow{\overline{\psi}} & T^*_G X' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ G \times_P T^*Y & \hookrightarrow & T^*X & \xleftarrow{\phi} & Z & \xrightarrow{\psi} & T^*X' \end{array} \tag{1}$$

where $\phi : Z \to T^*X$ and $\psi : Z \to T^*X'$ are respectively the first and second projections of $T^*X \times T^*X'$ restricted to Z.

1.2. **Hall multiplication.** Let $Q = (I, H)$ be a quiver. We consider the $\mathbb{N}^I$-graded $R[\![t_1, t_2]\!]$-module ${}^A\mathcal{P}(Q) := \bigoplus_v {}^A\mathcal{P}_v(Q)$ with ${}^A\mathcal{P}_v(Q) = A_{G_v \times T}(\mu_v^{-1}(0))$.

For each pair $v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{N}^I$, we recall the multiplication map $m^P_{v_1,v_2} : \mathcal{P}_{v_1} \otimes_{R[\![t_1 t_2]\!]} \mathcal{P}_{v_2} \to \mathcal{P}_{v_1+v_2}$. We write $v = v_1 + v_2$. We consider the Lagrangian correspondence formalism in Section §1.1, with the following specializations: Take Y to be $\mathrm{Rep}(Q, v_1) \times \mathrm{Rep}(Q, v_2)$, X' to be $\mathrm{Rep}(Q, v)$ and $\mathcal{V}$ to be $\mathrm{Rep}(Q)_{v_1,v_2}$ (see [KoSo11, § 2.2] and [YZ15, § 2.1]). We write $G := G_v$ for short. Let $P \subset G_v$ be the parabolic subgroup and $L := G_{v_1} \times G_{v_2}$ be the Levi subgroup of P. Recall in Section §1.1, we

have the correspondence (1) of $G\times T$-varieties. The map $m^P_{v_1,v_2}$ is defined to be the composition of the following morphisms.

(1) The Künneth morphism $\mathcal{P}_{v_1}\otimes_{R[\![t_1,t_2]\!]}\mathcal{P}_{v_2}\to A_{G_{v_1}\times G_{v_2}\times T}(\mu_{v_1}^{-1}(0)\times\mu_{v_2}^{-1}(0))$.
(2) The natural isomorphism $A_{P\times T}(\mu_{v_1}^{-1}(0)\times\mu_{v_2}^{-1}(0))\cong A_{G\times T}\big(G\times_P(\mu_{v_1}^{-1}(0)\times\mu_{v_2}^{-1}(0))\big)$.
(3) The following composition from diagram (1), with $\phi^\sharp$ being the Gysin pullback of ϕ

$$A_{G\times T}(T^*_GX)\xrightarrow{\phi^\sharp}A_{G\times T}(Z_G)\xrightarrow{\overline{\psi}_*}A_{G\times T}(\mu_v^{-1}(0))\cong\mathcal{P}_v.$$

1.3. **Representations from quiver varieties.** We review the representations of ${}^A\mathcal{P}(Q)$ in this section. We show that ${}^A\mathcal{P}(Q)$ acts on the equivariant A-homology of Nakajima quiver varieties. Unless otherwise specified, we use the stability condition θ^+ induced by the character $G_v\to\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}:(g_i)_{i\in I}\mapsto\prod_{i\in I}\det(g_i)^{-1}$.

Let $v_1,v_2\in\mathbb{N}^I$ be two dimension vectors. Let $v=v_1+v_2$. We fix an I-tuple of vector spaces V of dimension vector v. We fix $V_1\subset V$ an I-tuple of subspaces of V with dimension vector v_1. Let $V_2:=V/V_1$, with the projection map $\mathrm{pr}_2:V\to V_2$. We set $G=G_v$, and $P=\{g\in G\mid g(V_1)=V_1\}$. Let $Q^\heartsuit$ be the framed quiver. Recall that the set of vertices of $Q^\heartsuit$ is $I\sqcup I'$. The set of edges of $Q^\heartsuit$ is, by definition, a disjoint union of H and a set of additional edges $j_i:i\to i'$, one for each vertex $i\in I$, $i'\in H$. We consider the Lagrangian correspondence formalism in § 1.1, specialized as follows: We take X' to be $\mathrm{Rep}(Q^\heartsuit,v,w)$ and Y to be $\mathrm{Rep}(Q^\heartsuit,v_1,w)\times\mathrm{Rep}(Q,v_2)$. Define $\mathcal{V}$ to be

$$\mathcal{V}:=\{(x,j)\in\mathrm{Rep}(Q^\heartsuit,v_1+v_2,w)\mid x(V_1)\subset V_1\}\subset X'.$$

As in Section §1.1, we have

$$T^*X\xleftarrow{\phi}Z\xrightarrow{\psi}T^*X'.$$

We will abbreviate $T^*Y^s=\mathrm{Rep}(\overline{Q^\heartsuit},v_1,w)^{ss}\times\mathrm{Rep}(\overline{Q},v_2)\subseteq T^*Y$, and $T^*X'^s=\mathrm{Rep}(\overline{Q^\heartsuit},v,w)^{ss}\subseteq T^*X'$. Here overline means the double of the quiver. There is a bundle projection $T^*X\to G\times_PT^*Y$. We define T^*X^s to be the preimage of $G\times_PT^*Y^s$ under this bundle projection. In particular, we have

$$T^*_GX^s:=G\times_P(T^*_LY\cap T^*Y^s)=G\times_P(\mu^{-1}_{v_1,w}(0)^{ss}\times\mu_{v_2}^{-1}(0)),$$

for $L=G_{v_1}\times G_{v_2}$. We define $Z^s:=\psi^{-1}(T^*X'^s)$ and $Z^s_G:=Z^s\cap Z_G$.

We have $\phi(Z^s)\subset T^*X^s$, thus, the following diagram of $G_v\times T\times G_w$-varieties.

(2)
$$\begin{array}{ccccc}T^*_GX^s & \xleftarrow{\overline{\phi}} & Z^s_G & \xrightarrow{\overline{\psi}} & T^*_GX'\cap T^*X'^s\\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow\\ G\times_PT^*Y^s & \xrightarrow{\iota} T^*X^s & \xleftarrow{\phi} & Z^s & \xrightarrow{\psi} T^*X'^s.\end{array}$$

Here the left square of diagram (2) is a pullback diagram.

Let v, $w\in\mathbb{N}^I$ be the dimension vectors. We have

$$\mathcal{M}(v,w):=A_{T\times G_w}(\mathfrak{M}(v,w))\cong A_{G_v\times T\times G_w}(\mu^{-1}_{v,w}(0)^{ss}).$$

For each $w\in\mathbb{N}^I$, we recall the action of ${}^A\mathcal{P}$ on $\mathcal{M}(w):=\bigoplus_v\mathcal{M}(v,w)$, where for each pair $v_1,v_2\in\mathbb{N}^I$ the action map

$$a_{v_1,v_2}:\mathcal{M}(v_1,w)\otimes\mathcal{P}_{v_2}\to\mathcal{M}(v_1+v_2,w)$$

is defined to be the composition of the Künneth morphism.

(3) $$A_{G_{v_1}\times G_w\times T}(\mu^{-1}_{v_1,w}(0)^{ss})\otimes A_{G_{v_2}\times T}(\mu^{-1}_{v_2}(0))\to A_{G_{v_1}\times G_{v_2}\times T\times G_w}(\mu^{-1}_{v_1,w}(0)^{ss}\times\mu^{-1}_{v_2}(0))$$

with the following morphism

$$\overline{\psi}_* \circ \phi^\sharp : A_{G_v\times T\times G_w}(T^*_G X^s) \to A_{G_v\times T\times G_w}(T^*_G X' \cap T^* X'^s) = \mathcal{M}(v,w),$$

where the pullback $\phi^\sharp$ is the Gysin pullback of ϕ in diagram (2).

1.4. **Shuffle description.** As is proven in [YZ15, Theorem C], there is an algebra homomorphism from $\mathcal{P}$ to the shuffle algebra $\mathcal{SH}$, which is an isomorphism after localization. We briefly recall the latter here. The details can be found in [YZ15, § 2] (see also [YZ1] for a purely algebraic treatment).

Let (R,F) be the formal group law associated to A. Define $\mathcal{SH}$ to be an $\mathbb{N}^I$-graded $R[\![t_1,t_2]\!]$-algebra. As an $R[\![t_1,t_2]\!]$-module, we have $\mathcal{SH} = \bigoplus_{v\in\mathbb{N}^I}\mathcal{SH}_v$. The degree v piece is $\mathcal{SH}_v := R[\![t_1,t_2]\!][\![\lambda^i_s]\!]^{\mathfrak{S}_v}_{i\in I,s=1,\dots,v^i}$. For any v_1 and $v_2\in\mathbb{N}^I$, we consider $\mathcal{SH}_{v_1}\otimes\mathcal{SH}_{v_2}$ as a subalgebra of $R[\![t_1,t_2]\!][\![\lambda^i_j]\!]_{i\in I,j=1,\dots,(v_1+v_2)^i}$ by sending λ'^i_s to λ^i_s, and λ''^i_t to $\lambda^i_{t+v^i_1}$. Let

$$\mathrm{fac}_1 := \prod_{i\in I}\prod_{s=1}^{v^i_1}\prod_{t=1}^{v^i_2}\frac{\lambda'^i_s -_F \lambda''^i_t +_F t_1 +_F t_2}{\lambda''^i_t -_F \lambda'^i_s}. \tag{4}$$

For each arrow $h\in H$, associate two integers, m_h and m_{h^*}. Define

$$\mathrm{fac}_2 := \prod_{h\in H}\Big(\prod_{s=1}^{v_1^{\mathrm{out}(h)}}\prod_{t=1}^{v_2^{\mathrm{in}(h)}}(\lambda_t^{''\,\mathrm{in}(h)} -_F \lambda_s^{'\,\mathrm{out}(h)} +_F m_h\cdot t_1)\prod_{s=1}^{v_1^{\mathrm{in}(h)}}\prod_{t=1}^{v_2^{\mathrm{out}(h)}}(\lambda_t^{''\,\mathrm{out}(h)} -_F \lambda_s^{'\,\mathrm{in}(h)} +_F m_{h^*}\cdot t_2)\Big), \tag{5}$$

where $m\cdot t = t +_F t +_F \cdots +_F t$ is the summation of m terms, for $m\in\mathbb{N}$. The multiplication of $f_1(\lambda')\in\mathcal{SH}_{v_1}$ and $f_2(\lambda'')\in\mathcal{SH}_{v_2}$ is defined to be

$$\sum_{\sigma\in\mathrm{Sh}(v_1,v_2)}\sigma(f_1\cdot f_2\cdot\mathrm{fac}_1\cdot\mathrm{fac}_2)\in R[\![t_1,t_2]\!][\![\lambda^i_j]\!]^{\mathfrak{S}_{v_1+v_2}}_{i\in I,j=1,\dots,(v_1+v_2)^i}. \tag{6}$$

When A is the equivariant Chow group or the equivariant K-theory, the shuffle algebra has a definition without going to the completion, as remarked in [YZ15, Remark 3.5].

1.5. **The Yangian.** Recall that $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}$ is the twist of $\mathcal{P}$ by a sign from Euler-Ringel form (see [YZ15, §5.6]), and $\underline{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}}$ is the quotient of $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}$ by the $A_T(\mathrm{pt})$-torsion.

For each $k\in I$, let e_k be the dimension vector valued 1 at vertex k and zero otherwise. We define the *spherical preprojective CoHA* to be the subalgebra ${}^A\mathcal{P}^{\mathfrak{s}}(Q)\subseteq{}^A\mathcal{P}(Q)$ generated by $\mathcal{P}_{e_k} = A_{T\times G_{e_k}}(\mu^{-1}_{e_k}(0))$ as k varies in I.

When A be the intersection theory CH (that is, the Chow group, see [Ful84]). Following Nakajima [Nak01], Varagnolo constructed an action of the Yangian $Y_\hbar(\mathfrak{g}_Q)$ on the equivariant Chow groups of quiver varieties [Va00].

Let Q be a quiver without edge loops. Let $Y^+_\hbar(\mathfrak{g}_Q)$ be the positive part of $Y_\hbar(\mathfrak{g}_Q)$. For any $w\in\mathbb{N}^I$, let $\mathcal{M}(w) = \bigoplus_{v\in\mathbb{N}^I}\mathrm{CH}_{G_w\times T}(\mathfrak{M}(v,w))$. It is shown in [YZ15, Theorem D] that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} {}^{\mathrm{CH}}\underline{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathfrak{s}} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & Y^+_\hbar(\mathfrak{g}_Q) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{M}(w)) & \longleftarrow & Y_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_Q \end{array}$$

where the map $Y_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_Q\to\mathrm{End}(\mathcal{M}(w))$ is defined in [Va00].

From entirely different considerations, Maulik-Okounkov constructed another algebra Y_{MO}, which also acts on the equivariant Chow groups of quiver varieties [Va00]. There is an algebra embedding $Y_\hbar(\mathfrak{g})\subset Y_{\mathrm{MO}}$ which is compatible with the two actions (see, e.g., [YZ15]).

2. Quiver with potentials and a cut

In this section, we recall a special type of quivers with potentials of interest to us.

2.1. **Quiver with potential and cut.** Let $\Gamma = (\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1)$ be an arbitrary quiver, and W be a potential of Γ, that is, $W = \sum_u c_u u$ is a linear combination of cycles in Γ. A cut C of (Γ, W) is a subset $C \subset \Gamma_1$ such that W is homogeneous of degree 1 with respect to the grading defined on arrows by

$$\deg a = \begin{cases} 1 & : a \in C, \\ 0 & : a \notin C. \end{cases}$$

In this section, we assume the quiver with potential (Γ, W) admits a cut C. Furthermore, we assume the following.

Assumption 2.1. *The cut C consists of exactly one edge loop for each vertex.*

Given a cycle $u = a_1 \dots a_n$ and an arrow $a \in \Gamma_1$. The cyclic derivative is defined to be

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial a} = \sum_{i: a_i = a} a_{i+1} \dots a_n a_1 \dots a_{i-1} \in \mathbb{C}\Gamma.$$

as an element of the path algebra $\mathbb{C}\Gamma$. We extend the cyclic derivatives to potentials by linearly. By Assumption 2.1, for $a \in C$, the derivative $\frac{\partial W}{\partial a} \in \mathbb{C}\Gamma$ is a linear combination of cycles. Let $x \in \mathrm{Rep}(Q, v)$, then we have $\frac{\partial W}{\partial a}(x) \in \mathfrak{g}_v$.

Define $J_W^C := \mathbb{C}(\Gamma \backslash C)/(\frac{\partial W}{\partial a} \mid a \in C)$, a quotient algebra of the path algebra $\mathbb{C}(\Gamma \backslash C)$. For a dimension vector v, we define

$$\mathfrak{R}(J_W^C, v) := \mathrm{Rep}(J_W^C, v) \times \mathrm{Rep}(C, v) \subset \mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma, v).$$

Example 2.2. Let $Q = (I, H)$ be any quiver. Let Γ be the extended quiver $\widehat{Q}$ introduced by Ginzburg in [Gin09]. More precisely, $\widehat{Q}$ have the same set of vertices as $Q = (I, H)$, and the following set of arrows:

(1) an arrow $a : i \to j$ for any arrow $a : i \to j$ in Q,
(2) an arrow $a^* : j \to i$ for any arrow $a : i \to j$ in Q,
(3) a loop $l_i : i \to i$ for any vertex i in Q.

Define a potential W on $\widehat{Q}$ by the formula

$$W = \sum_{(a: i \to j) \in H} (l_j a a^* - l_i a^* a) = \sum_{i \in I} l_i \cdot \sum_{a \in H} [a, a^*].$$

Let $C = \{l_i \mid i \in I\}$ be the cut of the pair $(\widehat{Q}, W)$. In this case, the algebra J_W^C is the preprojective algebra $\Pi_Q := \mathbb{C}\overline{Q}/(\sum_{a \in H}[a, a^*])$. And for any $v \in \mathbb{N}^I$ we have

$$\mathfrak{R}(J_{\widehat{Q},W}^C, v) = \mathrm{Rep}(\Pi_Q, v) \times \mathrm{Rep}(C, v) \cong \mu_v^{-1}(0) \times \mathrm{Rep}(C, v),$$

where $\mu_v : \mathrm{Rep}(\overline{Q}, v) \to \mathfrak{g}_v, (a, a^*) \mapsto [a, a^*]$ is the moment map.

Example 2.3. Another example of the quiver with potential is $(\widetilde{Q^\heartsuit}, W^\heartsuit)$. Define a new quiver $\widetilde{Q^\heartsuit}$ to have the same set of vertices as $Q^\heartsuit$ and the following arrows:

(1) an arrow $a : i \to j$ for any arrow $a : i \to j$ in $Q^\heartsuit$,
(2) an arrow $a^* : j \to i$ for any arrow $a : i \to j$ in $Q^\heartsuit$,
(3) a loop $l_i : i \to i$ for any vertex i in Q.

We introduce the potential $W^\heartsuit$ on the quiver $\widetilde{Q^\heartsuit}$

$$W^\heartsuit = \sum_{(a:k\to s)\in H} (l_s a a^* - l_k a^* a) + \sum_{k\in I} l_k i_k j_k = \sum_{k\in I} l_k \cdot \Big(\sum_{a\in H} [a, a^*] + i_k \circ j_k \Big).$$

Let $C = \{l_i \mid i \in I\}$ be the cut. The algebra $J^C_{W^\heartsuit}$ is then $J^C_{W^\heartsuit} = \mathbb{C}\overline{Q^\heartsuit}/(\sum_{a\in H}[a, a^*] + i \circ j)$. We have

$$\mathfrak{R}(J^C_{\widetilde{Q^\heartsuit},W^\heartsuit}, v, w) \cong \mathrm{Rep}(J^C_{W^\heartsuit}, v, w) \times \mathrm{Rep}(C, v) \cong \mu^{-1}_{v,w}(0) \times \mathrm{Rep}(C, v),$$

where $\mu_{v,w} : \mathrm{Rep}(\overline{Q^\heartsuit}, v, w) \to \mathfrak{g}_v$ is the moment map.

The natural projection $\zeta : \mathfrak{R}(J^C_{\widetilde{Q^\heartsuit},W^\heartsuit}, v, w) \to \mu^{-1}_{v,w}(0)$ is a $G_v \times G_w$-equivariant vector bundle, with fiber $\mathrm{Rep}(C, v)$. We define the semistable points to be

$$\mathfrak{R}(J^C_{\widetilde{Q^\heartsuit},W^\heartsuit}, v, w)^{ss} := \zeta^{-1}(\mu^{-1}_{v,w}(0)^{ss}) \subset \mathfrak{R}(J^C_{\widetilde{Q^\heartsuit},W^\heartsuit}, v, w).$$

Then $\mathfrak{R}(J^C_{\widetilde{Q^\heartsuit},W^\heartsuit}, v, w)^{ss}/G_v \to \mathfrak{M}(v, w)$ is a equivariant vector bundle on $\mathfrak{M}(v, w)$.

2.2. **An auxiliary algebra $\mathcal{H}$.** Let (Γ, W, C) be the quiver with potential, which admits a cut C satisfying Assumption 2.1. Assume there is an additional linear $T = {\mathbb{G}_\mathrm{m}}^2$-action on $\mathfrak{R}(J^C_W, v)$ so that all the correspondences are equivariant.

We define an *auxiliary cohomological Hall algebra* associated to the data (Γ, W, C) to be

$$\mathcal{H} := \bigoplus_{v\in\mathbb{N}^{\Gamma_0}} \mathcal{H}_v := \bigoplus_{v\in\mathbb{N}^{\Gamma_0}} A_{G_v\times T}(\mathfrak{R}(J^C_W, v), \mathbb{Q})$$

as $\mathbb{N}^I$-graded R-module, with multiplication defined below. The algebra $\mathcal{H}$ is introduced as an intermediate object in comparing ${}^{\mathrm{CH}}\mathcal{P}$ with the critical CoHA of Kontsevich-Soibelman. However, it has the advantage of being defined for any oriented cohomology theory.

For any $v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{N}^I$, let $v = v_1 + v_2$. The groups G, P, and L are similar as in § 1. As before, we have the correspondence

$$\mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma, v_1) \times \mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma, v_2) \xleftarrow{p} \mathcal{V} \xrightarrow{\eta} \mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma, v_1 + v_2),$$

where $\mathcal{V} := \{x \in \mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma, v_1 + v_2) \mid x(V_1) \subset V_1\}$. It is clear that

$$\eta^{-1}(\mathfrak{R}(J^C_W, v_1 + v_2)) \subsetneq p^{-1}(\mathfrak{R}(J^C_W, v_1) \times \mathfrak{R}(J^C_W, v_2)).$$

Thus, we have the following maps

$$\mathfrak{R}(J^C_W, v_1) \times \mathfrak{R}(J^C_W, v_2) \longleftarrow \eta^{-1}(\mathfrak{R}(J^C_W, v_1 + v_2)) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{R}(J^C_W, v_1 + v_2)$$

We introduce the smooth variety

$$\mathcal{X} := \{(c, x_1, x_2) \in \mathfrak{p}_{v_1+v_2} \times \mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma, v_1) \times \mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma, v_2) \mid \mathrm{pr}_i(c) = \frac{\partial W}{\partial a}(x_i), \text{for } a \in C, \text{ i=1, 2}\}.$$

For $x \in \mathcal{V}$, denote by $(\mathrm{pr}_1(x), \mathrm{pr}_2(x))$ the projection of x to $\mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma, v_1) \times \mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma, v_2)$. We have the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} G\times_P \mathcal{X} & \xleftarrow{\phi} & G\times_P \mathcal{V} & \xrightarrow{\eta} & \mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma, v_1+v_2) \\ \uparrow{\scriptstyle i_1} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle i_2} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle i_3} \\ G\times_P \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{R}(J^C_W, v_1) \\ \times \mathfrak{R}(J^C_W, v_2) \end{pmatrix} & \xleftarrow{\overline{\phi}} & G\times_P \Big(\eta^{-1}(\mathfrak{R}(J^C_W, v_1+v_2)\Big) & \xrightarrow{\overline{\eta}} & \mathfrak{R}(J^C_W, v_1+v_2), \end{array} \tag{7}$$

with the maps in the diagram given by

$$\begin{aligned} i_1 &: (g, x_1, x_2) \mapsto (g, 0, x_1, x_2) \\ \phi &: (g, x) \mapsto (g, \frac{\partial W}{\partial a}(x), \mathrm{pr}_1(x), \mathrm{pr}_2(x)) \\ \eta &: (g, x) \mapsto gxg^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

The left square in (7) is a Cartesian square, since the fiber product of the maps i_1 and ϕ is

$$\{(g,x) \in G \times_P \mathcal{V} \mid \frac{\partial W}{\partial a}(x) = 0\} = G \times_P \big(\eta^{-1}(\mathfrak{R}(J_W^C, v_1+v_2))\big).$$

We now describe the product of the $\mathcal{H}$ associated to (Γ, W, C). The Hall multiplication $m^{\mathrm{aux}}_{v_1,v_2}$ of $\mathcal{H}$ is the composition of the following morphisms.

(1) The Künneth morphism

$$A_{G_{v_1}\times T}(\mathfrak{R}(J_W^C, v_1)) \otimes A_{G_{v_2}\times T}(\mathfrak{R}(J_W^C, v_2)) \to A_{G_{v_1}\times G_{v_2}\times T}(\mathfrak{R}(J_W^C, v_1) \times \mathfrak{R}(J_W^C, v_2)).$$

(2) The isomorphisms:

$$A_{G_{v_1}\times G_{v_2}\times T}\begin{pmatrix}\mathfrak{R}(J_W^C,v_1)\\ \times \mathfrak{R}(J_W^C,v_2)\end{pmatrix} \cong A_{P\times T}\begin{pmatrix}\mathfrak{R}(J_W^C,v_1)\\ \times \mathfrak{R}(J_W^C,v_2)\end{pmatrix} \cong A_{G_{v_1+v_2}\times T}\left(G_{v_1+v_2} \times_P \begin{pmatrix}\mathfrak{R}(J_W^C,v_1)\\ \times \mathfrak{R}(J_W^C,v_2)\end{pmatrix}\right).$$

(3) The refined Gysin pullback along ϕ in (7):

$$\phi^\sharp : A_{G\times T}\left(G \times_P \begin{pmatrix}\mathfrak{R}(J_W^C,v_1)\\ \times \mathfrak{R}(J_W^C,v_2)\end{pmatrix}\right) \to A_{G\times T}\Big(G \times_P \eta^{-1}(\mathfrak{R}(J_W^C, v_1+v_2))\Big).$$

(4) The pushforward $\overline{\eta}_*$ in (7):

$$\overline{\eta}_* : A_{G\times T}\big(G \times_P \eta^{-1}(\mathfrak{R}(J_W^C, v_1+v_2))\big) \to A_{G\times T}\big(\mathfrak{R}(J_W^C, v_1+v_2)\big).$$

Proposition 2.4. *The maps $m^{\mathrm{aux}}_{v_1,v_2}$ define an associative $\mathbb{N}^I$-graded algebra structure on $\mathcal{H}$.*

Proof. The proof goes the same way as the proof in [YZ15, Theorem 4.1]. □

2.3. **The case when $\Gamma = \widehat{Q}$.** Let Γ be the same as in Example 2.2. Let $v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{N}^I$. By [YZ15, Lemma 5.1(c)], (taking $w = 0$ in the statement of *loc. cit.*, we have the formula for Z_G:

$$Z_G = G \times_P \{(x, x^*) \in \mu^{-1}_{v_1+v_2}(0) \mid (x,x^*)(V_1) \subset V_1\} = G \times_P (\eta^{-1}(\mu^{-1}_{v_1+v_2}(0))).$$

Thus, in this example, the middle term $G \times_P (\eta^{-1}(\mu^{-1}_{v_1+v_2}(0)) \times \mathcal{V}^C)$ of the correspondence (7) is a bundle over Z_G with fiber $\mathcal{V}^C$, where $\mathcal{V}^C := \{x \in \mathrm{Rep}(C, v_1+v_2) \mid x(\mathbb{C}^{v_1}) \subset \mathbb{C}^{v_1}\}$. Furthermore, we assume the extra T-action on $\mathfrak{R}(J_W^C, v)$ restricts to $\mu_v^{-1}(0)$ is given as in [YZ15, § 3], with respect to which the correspondence is equivariant. In § 4 and § A we will concentrate on two special examples of such T-action.

Now we study the multiplication of ${}^A\mathcal{H}$ when $\Gamma = \widehat{Q}$. Let v_1, v_2 be two dimension vectors. Recall in Section §2.2, we have the correspondence

$$\mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma, v_1) \times \mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma, v_2) \xleftarrow{p} \mathcal{V} \xrightarrow{\eta} \mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma, v_1+v_2),$$

where $\mathcal{V} := \{x \in \mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma, v_1+v_2) \mid x(V_1) \subset V_1\}$, and let $(\mathcal{V}^C)^\perp \subset \mathrm{Rep}(C, v_1+v_2)$ be the complement of $\mathcal{V}^C$ which consists of strictly lower block triangular matrices.

We have the following correspondence

$$\mathfrak{R}(J_W^C, v_1) \times \mathfrak{R}(J_W^C, v_2) \xleftarrow{\overline{p_1}} \mu_{v_1}^{-1}(0) \times \mu_{v_2}^{-1}(0) \times \mathcal{V}^C \xrightarrow{\overline{i_1}} \mu_{v_1}^{-1}(0) \times \mu_{v_2}^{-1}(0) \times \mathrm{Rep}(C, v_1+v_2)$$

Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}} \subset G \times_P \{\mathfrak{p}_{v_1+v_2} \times \mathrm{Rep}(\bar{Q}, v_1) \times \mathrm{Rep}(\bar{Q}, v_2) \times \mathrm{Rep}(C, v_1+v_2)\}$ be the subset $\{(g, c, x, x^*, l) \mid [x, x^*] = \mathrm{pr}(c)\}$. Here we write $c \in \mathfrak{p}_{v_1+v_2}$ and $(x, x^*) \in \mathrm{Rep}(\bar{Q}, v_1) \times \mathrm{Rep}(\bar{Q}, v_2)$. Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}} \subset G \times_P \{\mathrm{Rep}(\bar{Q}, v_1+v_2) \times \mathrm{Rep}(C, v_1+v_2)\}$ be the subset $\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}} = \{(g, x, x^*, l) \mid (x, x^*)(V_1) \subset V_1\}$. Define

$$\widetilde{p_2} : \widetilde{\mathcal{Z}} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}, \quad (g, x, x^*, l) \mapsto (g, [x, x^*], \mathrm{pr}(x), \mathrm{pr}(x^*), l).$$

We have the following Cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \widetilde{\mathcal{Y}} & \longleftarrow & G \times_P \left(\mu_{v_1}^{-1}(0) \times \mu_{v_2}^{-1}(0) \times \mathrm{Rep}(C, v_1+v_2)\right) & & \\ \uparrow{\scriptstyle \widetilde{p_2}} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \overline{p_2}} & & \\ \widetilde{\mathcal{Z}} & \longleftarrow & G \times_P (\eta^{-1}(\mathfrak{R}(J_W^C, v_1+v_2)) \times (\mathcal{V}^C)^\perp) & \xrightarrow{\overline{i_2}} & \mathfrak{R}(J_W^C, v_1+v_2) \end{array} \tag{8}$$

The square in (8) is fibered over the following Cartesian diagram, with fibers $\mathrm{Rep}(C, v_1+v_2)$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} T^*X & \longleftarrow & G \times_P (\mu_{v_1}^{-1}(0) \times \mu_{v_2}^{-1}(0)) = T^*_G X & & \\ \phi \uparrow & & \uparrow \overline{\phi} & & \\ Z & \longleftarrow & Z_G & \longrightarrow & \mu_{v_1+v_2}^{-1}(0). \end{array}$$

Proposition 2.5. *The multiplication m^{aux} on $\mathcal{H}$, defined as $\overline{\eta}_* \phi^\sharp_{\overline{\phi}}$, is equivalent to*

$$\overline{i_{2*}} \circ \widetilde{p_2}^\sharp \circ \overline{i_{1*}} \circ \overline{p_1}^* : A_{G_{v_1} \times G_{v_2} \times T}(\mathfrak{R}(J_W^C, v_1) \times \mathfrak{R}(J_W^C, v_2)) \to A_{G_{v_1+v_2} \times T}(\mathfrak{R}(J_W^C, v_1+v_2)),$$

where $\widetilde{p_2}^\sharp$ is the refined Gysin pullback of $\overline{p_2}$ along $\widetilde{p_2}$ in (8).

2.4. **Action on the cohomology of quiver varieties.** In this subsection, we construct representations of $\mathcal{H}$ associated to the quiver with potential $(\widehat{Q}, W)$. Denote the cut by C. As in Example 2.3, we will also take the T-action into consideration. We show $\mathcal{H}$ acts on the equivariant A-homology of the Nakajima quiver varieties.

For any $v \in \mathbb{N}^I$, define

$$\mathcal{M}(v, w) := A_{G_w \times T}(\mathfrak{M}(v, w)) \cong A_{G_v \times G_w \times T}(\mu_{v,w}^{-1}(0)^{ss} \times \mathrm{Rep}(C, v)).$$

For any v_1, v_2, we define a map

$$a^{\mathrm{aux}}_{v_1,v_2} : \mathcal{M}(v_1, w) \otimes \mathcal{H}_{v_2} \to \mathcal{M}(v_1+v_2, w).$$

We start with the correspondence

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathrm{Rep}(\overline{Q^\heartsuit}, v_1, w) \times \mathrm{Rep}(C, v_1) \\ \times \mathrm{Rep}(\overline{Q}, v_2) \times \mathrm{Rep}(C, v_2) \end{array} \xleftarrow{p} \mathcal{V} \xrightarrow{\eta} \begin{array}{c} \mathrm{Rep}(\overline{Q^\heartsuit}, v_1+v_2, w) \\ \times \mathrm{Rep}(C, v_1+v_2) \end{array}$$

where

$$\mathcal{V} := \{(b, i, j) \mid b \in \mathrm{Rep}(\widehat{Q}, v_1+v_2), i \in \mathrm{Hom}(W, V), j \in \mathrm{Hom}(V, W), b(V_1) \subset V_1, \mathrm{Im}(i) \subset V_1\}.$$

For $(b, i, j) \in \mathcal{V}$, denote by $(\mathrm{pr}_1(b), \mathrm{pr}_2(b))$ the projection of b to $\mathrm{Rep}(\widehat{Q}, v_1) \times \mathrm{Rep}(\widehat{Q}, v_2)$. Let $i_{V_1} : W \to V_1$ be the co-restriction of i on V_1, and j_{V_1} be the composition $V_1 \subset V \to W$. The map p is given by $p : (b, i, j) \mapsto (\mathrm{pr}_1(b), \mathrm{pr}_2(b), j_{V_1}, i_{V_1})$.

For simplicity, for any $v \in \mathbb{N}^I$, we write $b \in \mathrm{Rep}(\widehat{Q}, v) = \mathrm{Rep}(Q, v) \times \mathrm{Rep}(Q^{\mathrm{op}}, v) \times \mathrm{Rep}(C, v)$ as (x, x^*, l). Define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{X}^s := &\mathrm{Rep}(C, v_1) \times \mathrm{Rep}(C, v_2) \times \{(c, (x, x^*, i, j), (y, y^*)) \mid c \in \mathfrak{p}_v, (x, x^*, i, j) \in \mathrm{Rep}(\overline{Q^\heartsuit}, v_1, w)^{ss}, \\ &(y, y^*) \in \mathrm{Rep}(\overline{Q}, v_2), [x, x^*] + i \circ j = \mathrm{pr}_1(c), [y, y^*] = \mathrm{pr}_2(c)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Recall $\mathcal{V}^C = \{x \in \mathrm{Rep}(C, v_1+v_2) \mid x(\mathbb{C}^{v_1}) \subset \mathbb{C}^{v_1}\}$. For $l \in \mathcal{V}^C$ and $(x, x^*, i, j) \in \mathrm{Rep}(\overline{Q^\heartsuit}, v_1+v_2, w)^{ss}$ such that $(l, x, x^*, i, j) \in \mathcal{V}$, define

$$\phi : (l, x, x^*, i, j) \mapsto (\mathrm{pr}_1(l), \mathrm{pr}_2(l), [x, x^*] + i \circ j, \mathrm{pr}_1(x), \mathrm{pr}_2(x), j_{V_1}, i_{V_1}) \in \mathcal{X}^s.$$

We have the following correspondence, with the left square being Cartesian.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} G \times_P \begin{pmatrix} \mu_{v_1,w}^{-1}(0)^{ss} \times \mathrm{Rep}(C,v_1) \\ \times \mu_{v_2}^{-1}(0) \times \mathrm{Rep}(C,v_2) \end{pmatrix} & \xleftarrow{\overline{\phi}} & G \times_P \eta^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \mu_{v_1+v_2,w}^{-1}(0)^{ss} \\ \times \mathrm{Rep}(C,v_1+v_2) \end{pmatrix} & \xrightarrow{\overline{\eta}} & \mu_{v_1+v_2,w}^{-1}(0)^{ss} \times \\ & & & & \mathrm{Rep}(C,v_1+v_2) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ G \times_P \mathcal{X}^s & \xleftarrow{\phi} & G \times_P \eta^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{Rep}(\overline{Q^\heartsuit},v_1+v_2,w)^{ss} \\ \times \mathrm{Rep}(C,v_1+v_2) \end{pmatrix} & \xrightarrow{\eta} & \mathrm{Rep}(\overline{Q^\heartsuit},v_1+v_2,w)^{ss} \\ & & & & \times \mathrm{Rep}(C,v_1+v_2) \end{array} \tag{9}$$

By [YZ15, Lemma 5.1(3)], the middle term $G \times_P \eta^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \mu_{v_1+v_2,w}^{-1}(0)^{ss} \\ \times \mathrm{Rep}(C,v_1+v_2) \end{pmatrix} \cong G \times_P \begin{pmatrix} \eta^{-1}(\mu_{v_1+v_2,w}^{-1}(0)^{ss}) \\ \times \mathcal{V}^C \end{pmatrix}$ of the correspondence (9) is a vector bundle over Z_G^s with fiber $\mathcal{V}^C$.

The map $a^{\mathrm{aux}}_{v_1,v_2}$ is defined to be the composition of the following morphisms.

(1) The Künneth morphism

$$\begin{aligned} & A_{G_{v_1} \times G_w \times T}\big(\mu_{v_1,w}^{-1}(0)^{ss} \times \mathrm{Rep}(C,v_1)\big) \times A_{G_{v_2} \times T}\big(\mu_{v_2}^{-1}(0) \times \mathrm{Rep}(C,v_2)\big) \\ \to & A_{G_{v_1} \times G_{v_2} \times G_w \times T}\big(\mu_{v_1,w}^{-1}(0)^{ss} \times \mathrm{Rep}(C,v_1) \times \mu_{v_2}^{-1}(0) \times \mathrm{Rep}(C,v_2)\big) \\ \cong & A_{G \times G_w \times T}\Big(G \times_P \big(\mu_{v_1,w}^{-1}(0)^{ss} \times \mathrm{Rep}(C,v_1) \times \mu_{v_2}^{-1}(0) \times \mathrm{Rep}(C,v_2)\big)\Big). \end{aligned}$$

(2) The refined Gysin pullback $\phi^\sharp$

$$A_{G \times G_w \times T}\left(G \times_P \begin{pmatrix} \mu_{v_1,w}^{-1}(0)^{ss} \times \mathrm{Rep}(C,v_1) \\ \times \mu_{v_2}^{-1}(0) \times \mathrm{Rep}(C,v_2) \end{pmatrix}\right) \to A_{G \times G_w \times T}\Big(G \times_P \eta^{-1}\Big(\mu_{v_1+v_2,w}^{-1}(0)^{ss} \times \mathrm{Rep}(C, v_1+v_2)\Big)\Big).$$

(3) The pushforward $\overline{\eta}_*$ in the correspondence (9)

$$\overline{\eta}_* : A_{G \times G_w \times T}\Big(G \times_P \eta^{-1}\Big(\mu_{v_1+v_2,w}^{-1}(0)^{ss} \times \mathrm{Rep}(C, v_1+v_2)\Big)\Big) \to A_{G \times G_w \times T}\big(\mu_{v_1+v_2,w}^{-1}(0)^{ss} \times \mathrm{Rep}(C, v_1+v_2)\big)$$

Let $\mathcal{M}(w) = \bigoplus_{v \in \mathbb{N}^I} \mathcal{M}(v, w)$. We have the following.

Theorem 2.6. *For any $w \in \mathbb{N}^I$, the maps $a^{\mathrm{aux}}_{v_1,v_2}$ define an algebra homomorphism $\mathcal{H} \to \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{M}(w))$.*

The proof of Theorem 2.6 goes the same way as that of [YZ15, Theorem 5.4].

Remark 2.7. By the fact that $\mathfrak{R}(J_W^C, v)$ is a vector bundle on $\mu_v^{-1}(0)$, there is a natural isomorphism of vector spaces $\mathcal{P}_v \cong \mathcal{H}_v$ for any $v \in \mathbb{N}^I$. However, this isomorphism is not compatible with the multiplications.

2.5. **Multiplications of $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{P}$.** In this section, we compare the Hall multiplication of ${}^A\mathcal{P}(Q)$ with that of the auxiliary CoHA $\mathcal{H}$ associated to $(\widehat{Q}, W, C)$. Let m^P be the multiplication of ${}^A\mathcal{P}(Q)$. By definition, $m^P(x \otimes y) = \overline{\psi}_* \phi^\sharp(x \otimes y)$, $x \in \mathcal{P}_{v_1}$ and $y \in \mathcal{P}_{v_2}$, where ϕ and $\overline{\psi}$ are as in § 1.2.

Proposition 2.8. *Let m^{aux} be the multiplication of $\mathcal{H}$. Then, for $x \in \mathcal{H}_{v_1}$ and $y \in \mathcal{H}_{v_2}$, we have*

$$m^{\mathrm{aux}}(x \otimes y) = \overline{\psi}_*\Big(e(\gamma) \cdot \phi^\sharp(x \otimes y)\Big),$$

where $e(\gamma)$ is the equivariant Euler class of the quotient bundle over Z_G of the closed embedding

$$\begin{gathered} \gamma : G \times_P \big(\eta^{-1}(\mu_{v_1+v_2}^{-1}(0)) \times \mathcal{V}^C\big) \hookrightarrow G \times_P \big(\eta^{-1}(\mu_{v_1+v_2}^{-1}(0))\big) \times \mathrm{Rep}(C, v_1+v_2), \\ (g, a, b) \mapsto ((g, a), gbg^{-1}). \end{gathered}$$

Proof. We have the following diagram of the correspondences used for $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{H}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} G\times_P(\mu_{v_1}^{-1}(0)\times\mu_{v_2}^{-1}(0)) & \xleftarrow{\overline{\phi}} & Z_G & \xrightarrow{\overline{\psi}} & \mu_{v_1+v_2}^{-1}(0) \\ \uparrow{\scriptstyle \pi_{v_1}\times\pi_{v_2}} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \pi} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \pi_{v_1+v_2}} \\ G\times_P\left(\begin{smallmatrix}\mu_{v_1}^{-1}(0)\times\mathrm{Rep}(C,v_1)\\ \times\mu_{v_2}^{-1}(0)\times\mathrm{Rep}(C,v_2)\end{smallmatrix}\right) & \xleftarrow{\overline{p}} & G\times_P(\eta^{-1}(\mu_{v_1+v_2}^{-1}(0))\times\mathcal{V}^C) & \xrightarrow{\overline{\eta}} & \begin{smallmatrix}\mu_{v_1+v_2}^{-1}(0)\times\\ \mathrm{Rep}(C,v_1+v_2)\end{smallmatrix} \end{array}$$

The map π is a vector bundle with fiber $\mathcal{V}^C$. For the left square, we have

$$\overline{p}^\sharp\circ(\pi_{v_1}\times\pi_{v_2})^*=\pi^*\circ\phi^\sharp.$$

Note that the right square is not a Cartesian diagram. We factor the map $\overline{\eta}$ as $(\overline{\psi}\times\mathrm{id})\circ\gamma$, where γ is a closed embedding.

(10)
$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & Z_G & \xrightarrow{\overline{\psi}} & \mu_{v_1+v_2}^{-1}(0) \\ & \overset{\pi}{\nearrow} & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{pr}_1} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \pi_{v_1+v_2}} \\ G\times_P\left(\eta^{-1}(\mu_{v_1+v_2}^{-1}(0))\times\mathcal{V}^C\right) & \xhookrightarrow{\gamma} & Z_G\times\mathrm{Rep}(C,v_1+v_2) & \xrightarrow{\overline{\psi}\times\mathrm{id}} & \begin{smallmatrix}\mu_{v_1+v_2}^{-1}(0)\times\\ \mathrm{Rep}(C,v_1+v_2)\end{smallmatrix} \\ & \searrow^{\overline{\eta}} & & & \end{array}$$

Clearly, the square in above diagram is a pullback diagram and satisfies the conditions in [YZ15, Lemma 1.16]. The pushforward $\gamma_*: A_{G\times T}(Z_G)\to A_{G\times T}(Z_G)$ is given by:

$$\gamma_*: x\mapsto x\cdot e(\gamma),$$

where $e(\gamma)$ is the equivariant Euler class. The claim now follows from the definition of Hall multiplication m^{aux} of $\mathcal{H}$. □

Proposition 2.8 and the shuffle formula (6) give the following shuffle description of $\mathcal{H}$. Let $\mathcal{SH}^{\mathrm{aux}}$ be the auxiliary shuffle algebra, which is isomorphic to the shuffle algebra $\mathcal{SH}$ as abelian groups. For $f_1\in\mathcal{SH}^{\mathrm{aux}}_{v_1}$ and $f_2\in\mathcal{SH}^{\mathrm{aux}}_{v_2}$, the multiplication $m^{\mathrm{aux}}_{v_1,v_2}(f_1\otimes f_2)$ is given by the shuffle formula

(11)
$$\sum_{\sigma\in\mathrm{Sh}(v_1,v_2)}\sigma\Big(f_1(\lambda_s^{\prime i})\cdot f_2(\lambda_t^{\prime\prime j})\cdot\mathrm{fac}_1\cdot\mathrm{fac}_2\cdot e(\gamma)\Big),$$

where $\sigma\in\mathrm{Sh}(v_1,v_2)$ is the shuffle of the variables $(\lambda_s^{\prime i})_{i\in I,s=1,\dots,v_1^i}$ and $(\lambda_t^{\prime\prime j})_{j\in I,t=1,\dots,v_2^j}$, and $\mathrm{fac}_1,\mathrm{fac}_2$ are given by (4) and (5). An argument as in the preprojective case implies the following.

Corollary 2.9. *There is an algebra homomorphism from $\mathcal{H}$ to $\mathcal{SH}^{\mathrm{aux}}$.*

Let a^{prepr} be the (right) action of $\mathcal{P}$ on $\mathcal{M}(w)$. By construction, $a^{\mathrm{prepr}}(m\otimes x)=\overline{\psi}_*\phi^\sharp(m\otimes x)$, where ϕ and $\overline{\psi}$ are as in § 1.3.

Proposition 2.10. *Let a^{aux} be the (right) action of $\mathcal{H}$ on $\mathcal{M}(w)$. We then have:*

$$a^{\mathrm{aux}}(m\otimes x)=\overline{\psi}_*\Big(e(\gamma)\cdot\phi^\sharp(m\otimes x)\Big).$$

Proof. The proof is the same as the proof of Proposition 2.8. □

Let $\mathcal{H}^\epsilon$ be the skew auxiliary CoHA, obtained by twisting $\mathcal{H}$ using a sign twist functor (see Appendix A). Roughly speaking, $\mathcal{H}^\epsilon$ is obtained from $\mathcal{H}$ by tensoring with the sign character of the symmetric group. In Appendix A, we interpret Proposition 2.8 and 2.10 in terms of an algebra homomorphism from $\mathcal{P}$ to $\mathcal{H}^\epsilon$ and the compatibility of their actions on quiver varieties.

2.6. **Borel-Moore homology.** We follow the same notation as in diagram (7). Recall the multiplication of ${}^{\mathrm{CH}}\mathcal{H}$ is defined as

$$\overline{\eta}_* \circ \phi_{\phi}^{\sharp} : \mathrm{CH}_{G\times T}\Big(G\times_P \big(\mathfrak{R}(J_W^C, v_1)\times \mathfrak{R}(J_W^C, v_2)\big)\Big) \to \mathrm{CH}_{G\times T}(\mathfrak{R}(J_W^C, v_1+v_2)).$$

On the other hand, instead of Chow group, we consider the Borel-Moore homology. Define the algebra ${}^{\mathrm{BM}}\mathcal{H} := \oplus_{v\in\mathbb{N}^I} H^{\mathrm{BM}}_{G_v\times T}(\mathfrak{R}(J_W^C, v))$, with multiplication, still denoted by m^{aux}, defined as

$$\overline{\eta}_* \circ \phi_{\phi}^{\sharp} : H^{\mathrm{BM}}_{G\times T}\Big(G\times_P \big(\mathfrak{R}(J_W^C, v_1)\times \mathfrak{R}(J_W^C, v_2)\big)\Big) \to H^{\mathrm{BM}}_{G\times T}(\mathfrak{R}(J_W^C, v_1+v_2)).$$

Similarly, for any $w\in\mathbb{N}^I$, there is an action a^{aux} of ${}^{\mathrm{BM}}\mathcal{H}$ on ${}^{\mathrm{BM}}\mathcal{M} = \bigoplus_{v\in\mathbb{N}^I} H^{\mathrm{BM}}_{G_w\times T}(\mathfrak{M}(v,w))$.

For any variety X, denote the structure map $X\to \mathrm{pt}$ by p_X. Let $D^b(X)$ be the category of constructible sheaves of $\mathbb{Q}$-vector spaces.

Remark 2.11. Recall $H^*_{c,G_v}(X)^\vee \cong \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{pt}}(p_{X!}\mathbb{Q}_X) = p_{X*}\mathbb{D}_X$, which is the Borel-Moore homology. There is a cycle map $\mathrm{cl}: \mathrm{CH}_*(X)\to H^{BM}_{2*}(X)$. Here $\mathbb{D}$ is the Verdier duality.

Proposition 2.12. *The cycle map* cl *induces an algebra homomorphism* ${}^{\mathrm{CH}}\mathcal{H}\to {}^{\mathrm{BM}}\mathcal{H}$*, which makes the following diagram commutative*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} {}^{\mathrm{CH}}\mathcal{H}\otimes {}^{\mathrm{CH}}\mathcal{M} & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{cl}} & {}^{\mathrm{BM}}\mathcal{H}\otimes {}^{\mathrm{BM}}\mathcal{M} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ {}^{\mathrm{CH}}\mathcal{M} & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{cl}} & {}^{\mathrm{BM}}\mathcal{M} \end{array}.$$

In Corollary 3.8, we give an alternative definition of ${}^{\mathrm{BM}}\mathcal{H}$ in terms of critical cohomology.

Let $v=v_1+v_2$, we define $M = G\times_P\{(c,x,x^*)\mid c\in\mathfrak{p}_v, (x,x^*)\in \mathrm{Rep}(Q,v)\times\mathrm{Rep}(Q^{\mathrm{op}},v), (x,x^*)(V_1)\subset V_1, \mathrm{pr}[x,x^*]=\mathrm{pr}(c)\}$, where $\mathrm{pr}(c)$ is the projection of c in $\mathfrak{g}_{v_1}\oplus\mathfrak{g}_{v_2}$. Using [YZ15, Lemma 5.1], we define maps $a: Z\to M, (g,x,x^*)\mapsto (g,[x,x^*],x,x^*)$, $b: M\to T^*X, (g,c,x,x^*)\mapsto (g,c,\mathrm{pr}(x),\mathrm{pr}(x^*))$. Then, a is a closed embedding and b is an affine bundle. The composition is $b\circ a=\phi$. We have the following pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} T^*X & \xleftarrow{b} & M & \xleftarrow{a} & Z \\ {\scriptstyle l}\uparrow\downarrow & & \uparrow\downarrow{\scriptstyle \widehat{i}} & & \uparrow\downarrow \\ T^*_GX & \xleftarrow{b'} & b^{-1}(T^*_GX) & \longleftarrow & Z_G \end{array} \tag{12}$$

Lemma 2.13. *The Gysin pullback* $\phi^\sharp : H^{\mathrm{BM}}_{G\times T}(T^*_GX)\to H^{\mathrm{BM}}_{G\times T}(Z_G)$ *is given by* $\mathbb{D}\circ(p_{T^*_GX})_!\circ l^*$ *applied to the following composition of morphisms*

$$b_!a_!\mathbb{Q}_Z \to b_!\mathbb{Q}_M[-\dim a]\cong \mathbb{Q}_{T^*X}[-\dim a-\dim b].$$

Proof. It is well-known (see, e.g., [KaSa08, Lemma 2.1.2]) that the map $a^\sharp$ is given by $(p_{b^{-1}(T^*_GX)})_*\widehat{i}^!(\mathbb{Q}_M\to a_*\mathbb{Q}_Z)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}(p_{b^{-1}(T^*_GX)})_*\widehat{i}^!(\mathbb{Q}_M\to a_*\mathbb{Q}_Z) =& (p_{T^*_GX})_*b'_*\widehat{i}^!(\mathbb{Q}_M\to a_*\mathbb{Q}_Z)\\ =&(p_{T^*_GX})_*l^!b_*(\mathbb{Q}_M\to a_*\mathbb{Q}_Z)\\ =&\mathbb{D}(p_{T^*_GX})_!l^*b_!(a_!\mathbb{Q}_Z\to\mathbb{Q}_M[-\dim a])\end{aligned}$$

The map b is an affine bundle. Hence, $b^\sharp$ is induced by $b_!\mathbb{Q}_M\cong\mathbb{Q}_{T^*X}[-\dim b]$. Note $\phi^\sharp = a^\sharp\circ b^\sharp$. We are done. □

3. The critical CoHA

We recall the critical CoHA defined by Kontsevich-Soibelman, and give it an equivalent description using the dimension reduction of [Da15a] (recalled in Appendix B).

3.1. **Critical CoHA.** Now we define the critical cohomological Hall algebra associated to the quiver with potential $(\widehat{Q}, W)$ to be $\mathscr{H} = \bigoplus_{v\in\mathbb{N}^I} H^{\mathrm{BM}}_{G_v\times T}(\mathfrak{R}(J^C_W, v))$ with multiplication, denoted by m^{crit}, defined as follows.

Let $e(\iota)$ be the equivariant Euler class of the embedding $\iota : G\times_P T^*Y \hookrightarrow T^*X$. We follow the same notations as in Proposition 2.5. Let $\frac{1}{e(\iota)}(\phi^\sharp) : H^{\mathrm{BM}}_{G\times T}(G\times_P T^*_L Y) \to H^{\mathrm{BM}}_{G\times T}(Z_G)[\frac{1}{e(\iota)}]$ be a morphism between the localized modules. We also consider $\frac{1}{e(\iota)}(\phi^\sharp)$ as a map between the targets of the natural isomorphisms $H^{\mathrm{BM}}_{G\times T}(T^*_G X) \cong H^{\mathrm{BM}}_{G\times T}(G\times_P (T^*_L Y\times \mathrm{Rep}(C, v_1+v_2)))$ and $H^{\mathrm{BM}}_{G\times T}(Z_G)[\frac{1}{e(\iota)}] \cong H^{\mathrm{BM}}_{G\times T}(G\times_P (\eta^{-1}\mu^{-1}_{v_1+v_2}(0)\times \mathrm{Rep}(C, v_1+v_2)))[\frac{1}{e(\iota)}]$.

Define

$$m^{\mathrm{crit}} := \overline{i_{2*}}\circ\frac{1}{e(\iota)}(\phi^\sharp)\circ\overline{i_{1*}}\circ\overline{p_1}^* : H^{\mathrm{BM}}_{G\times T}\Big(G\times_P\big(\mathfrak{R}(J^C_W, v_1)\times\mathfrak{R}(J^C_W, v_2)\big)\Big) \to H^{\mathrm{BM}}_{G\times T}(\mathfrak{R}(J^C_W, v_1+v_2)).$$

This morphism, *a priori* only defined after localization, is actually well-defined before localization, by Theorem 3.2.

Similar to [YZ15, Theorems 4.1 and 5.4], we have the following.

Theorem 3.1. *The $\mathbb{N}^I$-graded $\mathbb{Q}[t_1, t_2]$-module $\mathscr{H}$ endowed with multiplication m^{crit} is an associative algebra. Furthermore, for any $w\in\mathbb{N}^I$, there is an action $a^{\mathrm{crit}} : \mathscr{H}\otimes {}^{\mathrm{BM}}\mathcal{M}(w) \to {}^{\mathrm{BM}}\mathcal{M}(w)$ of $\mathscr{H}$ on ${}^{\mathrm{BM}}\mathcal{M}(w)$.*

In the definition of critical CoHA in [KoSo11], critical cohomology is used instead of oriented Borel-Moore homology theory. Let (Γ, W) be a quiver with potential, which admits the cut C satisfying the Assumption 2.1. Let CH be the intersection theory and $\mathrm{cl} : \mathrm{CH}_{G_v}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \to H^{\mathrm{BM}}_{G_v}(X, \mathbb{Q}) = H^*_{c,G_v}(X, \mathbb{Q})^\vee$ be the cycle map. The trace of the potential $\mathrm{tr}(W)_v$ is a function on $\mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma, v)$. We follow the convention in [Da15a], denote $\varphi_{\mathrm{tr}\, W_v}$ to be the vanishing cycle complex of $\mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma, v)$ with support on the critical locus of $\mathrm{tr}\, W_v$. Thus, there is an isomorphism (see e.g., Corollary 3.5)

$$H^*_{c,G_v}(\mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma, v), \varphi_{\mathrm{tr}\, W_v}) \cong H^*_{c,G_v}(\mathrm{Crit}(\mathrm{tr}\, W_v), \varphi_{\mathrm{tr}\, W_v}).$$

Theorem 3.2. *The isomorphism*

$$H^{\mathrm{BM}}_{G_v}(\mathfrak{R}(J^C_W, v), \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^*_{c,G_v}(\mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma, v), \varphi_{\mathrm{tr}\, W_v})^\vee,$$

induces an algebra isomorphism from $\mathscr{H} := \big(\bigoplus_{v\in\mathbb{N}^I} H^{\mathrm{BM}}_{G_v}(\mathfrak{R}(J^C_W, v), \mathbb{Q}),\ m^{\mathrm{crit}}\big)$ to the critical CoHA $\bigoplus_{v\in\mathbb{N}^I} H^*_{c,G_v}(\mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma, v), \varphi_{\mathrm{tr}\, W_v})^\vee$ with multiplication defined in [KoSo11].

The proof of Theorem 3.2 amounts to showing that the dimension reduction is compatible with pullback and pushforward used in the definition of critical CoHA. We present the proof in Appendix B for the convenience of the readers.

Remark 3.3. (1) Let $J_{\Gamma,W}$ be the Jacobian algebra of the quiver with potential (Γ, W). (See [DWZ08] for details.) Representations of the Jacobian algebra $\mathrm{Rep}(J_{\Gamma,W}, v)$ as a Zariski closed subvariety of $\mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma, v)$ is the same as $\mathrm{Crit}(\mathrm{tr}\, W_v)$. Thus, we have

$$H^*_{c,G_v}(\mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma, v), \varphi_{\mathrm{tr}\, W_v}) \cong H^*_{c,G_v}(\mathrm{Rep}(J_{\Gamma,W}, v), \varphi_{\mathrm{tr}\, W_v}).$$

(2) The definition of critical CoHA in [KoSo11] is more general. The critical cohomology of more general subvarieties $M_v^{\mathrm{sp}} \subset \mathrm{Crit}(\mathrm{tr}\, W_v)$ was considered. In our setup, we only take the maximal choice $M_v^{\mathrm{sp}} = \mathrm{Crit}(\mathrm{tr}\, W_v)$, and we assume the quiver with potential admits a cut satisfying Assumption 2.1.
(3) In the definition given in [KoSo11], cohomological degree was taken into consideration.

3.2. **Critical CoHA via critical cohomology.** We recall the construction of the critical CoHA by Kontsevich and Soibelman. Let (Γ, W) be a quiver with potential. For dimension vector $v \in \mathbb{N}^I$, denote by $\mathrm{tr}(W)_v$ the trace function on $\mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma, v)$. We follow the convention in [Da15a], let $\varphi_{\mathrm{tr}\, W_v}$ be the vanishing cycle complex on $\mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma, v)$ with support on the critical locus of $\mathrm{tr}\, W_v$. We denote by $H^*_{c,G_v}(X)^\vee$ the Verdier duality of the compact support cohomology of X. We have an isomorphism

$$H^*_{c,G_v}(\mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma, v), \varphi_{\mathrm{tr}\, W_v}) \cong H^*_{c,G_v}(\mathrm{Crit}(\mathrm{tr}\, W_v), \varphi_{\mathrm{tr}\, W_v}).$$

Write $v = v_1 + v_2$ for short, we have the correspondence

$$\mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma, v_1) \times \mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma, v_2) \xleftarrow{p} \mathcal{V} \xrightarrow{\eta} \mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma, v_1 + v_2),$$

where $\mathcal{V} := \{x \in \mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma, v) \mid x(V_1) \subset V_1\}$. The trace functions $\mathrm{tr}\, W_{v_i}$ on $\mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma, v_i)$ induce a function $\mathrm{tr}\, W_{v_1} \boxplus \mathrm{tr}\, W_{v_2}$ on the product $\mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma, v_1) \times \mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma, v_2)$. We define $\mathrm{tr}(W)_{v_1,v_2}$ on $\mathcal{V}$ to be

$$\mathrm{tr}(W)_{v_1,v_2} := p^*(\mathrm{tr}\, W_{v_1} \boxplus \mathrm{tr}\, W_{v_2}) = \eta^*(\mathrm{tr}\, W_{v_1+v_2}).$$

Note that we have $p^{-1}(\mathrm{Crit}(\mathrm{tr}\, W_{v_1}) \times \mathrm{Crit}(\mathrm{tr}\, W_{v_2})) \supsetneqq \eta^{-1}(\mathrm{Crit}(\mathrm{tr}\, W_{v_1+v_2}))$.

The Hall multiplication of the critical CoHA is the composition of the following morphisms (see [KoSo11]).

(1) The Thom-Sebastiani isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} &H^*_{c,G_{v_1}}(\mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma, v_1), \varphi_{\mathrm{tr}\, W_{v_1}})^\vee \otimes H^*_{c,G_{v_2}}(\mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma, v_2), \varphi_{\mathrm{tr}\, W_{v_2}})^\vee \\ \cong &H^*_{c,G_{v_1}\times G_{v_2}}(\mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma, v_1) \times \mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma, v_2), \varphi_{\mathrm{tr}\, W_{v_1} \boxplus \mathrm{tr}\, W_{v_2}})^\vee. \end{aligned}$$

(2) Using the fact that $\mathcal{V}$ is an affine bundle over $\mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma, v_1) \times \mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma, v_2)$, and $\mathrm{tr}\, W_{v_1,v_2}$ is the pullback of $\mathrm{tr}\, W_{v_1} \boxplus \mathrm{tr}\, W_{v_2}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} H^*_{c,G_{v_1}\times G_{v_2}}(\mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma, v_1) \times \mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma, v_2), \varphi_{\mathrm{tr}\, W_{v_1} \boxplus \mathrm{tr}\, W_{v_2}})^\vee \cong &H^*_{c,G_{v_1}\times G_{v_2}}(\mathcal{V}, \varphi_{\mathrm{tr}\, W_{v_1,v_2}})^\vee \\ \cong &H^*_{c,G}(G \times_P \mathcal{V}, \varphi_{\mathrm{tr}\, W_{v_1,v_2}})^\vee. \end{aligned}$$

(3) Using the fact $\mathrm{tr}\, W_{v_1,v_2}$ is the restriction of $\mathrm{tr}\, W_{v_1+v_2}$ to $\mathcal{V}$. We have

$$H^*_{c,G}(G \times_P \mathcal{V}, \varphi_{\mathrm{tr}\, W_{v_1,v_2}})^\vee \to H^*_{c,G}(G \times_P \mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma, v_1 + v_2), \varphi_{\mathrm{tr}\, W_{v_1+v_2}})^\vee.$$

(4) Pushforward along $G \times_P \mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma, v_1 + v_2) \to \mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma, v_1 + v_2), (g, m) \mapsto gmg^{-1}$, we get

$$H^*_{c,G}(G \times_P \mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma, v_1 + v_2), \varphi_{\mathrm{tr}\, W_{v_1+v_2}})^\vee \to H^*_{c,G}(\mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma, v_1 + v_2), \varphi_{\mathrm{tr}\, W_{v_1+v_2}})^\vee.$$

Definition 3.4. The critical CoHA is $\bigoplus_{v\in\mathbb{N}^I} H^*_{c,G_v}(\mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma, v), \varphi_{\mathrm{tr}\, W_v})^\vee$, endowed with the Hall multiplication described above.

3.3. **The proof of Theorem 3.2.** Now we use the previous subsections to prove Theorem 3.2.

Let (Γ, W) be a quiver with potential. We assume (Γ, W) admits a cut which satisfies Assumption 2.1. In Theorem B.1, take $Y = \mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma, v), X = \mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma\backslash C, v), \mathbb{A}^n = \mathrm{Rep}(C, v), f = \mathrm{tr}\, W_v$. By Assumption 2.1, we know $Z = \mathrm{Rep}(J_W^C, v)$, and $\mathfrak{R}(J_W^C, v) = Z \times \mathrm{Rep}(C, v)$. Then Theorem B.1 and Proposition B.2 yield the following.

Corollary 3.5. *There is a canonical isomorphism*

$$H^*_{c,G_v}(\mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma,v),\varphi_{\mathrm{tr}\,W_v})\cong H^*_{c,G_v}(\mathfrak{R}(J_W^C,v),\mathbb{Q}),$$

which is compatible with the Thom-Sebastiani isomorphism and the Künneth isomorphism.

Now let $\Gamma=\widehat{Q}$ be a quiver as in Example 2.2. We have the following diagram with the square being Cartesian

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma,v_1)\times\mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma,v_2) & \xleftarrow{p_1} & \mathrm{Rep}(\overline{Q},v_1)\times\mathrm{Rep}(\overline{Q},v_2)\times\mathcal{V}^C & \xrightarrow{i_1} & \mathrm{Rep}(\overline{Q},v_1)\times\mathrm{Rep}(\overline{Q},v_2)\times\mathrm{Rep}(C,v_1+v_2)\\
& \overset{p}{\nwarrow} & \uparrow{\scriptstyle p_3}\ \uparrow{\scriptstyle j_3} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle p_2}\ \uparrow{\scriptstyle j_2}\\
& & \mathcal{V} & \xrightarrow{i_3} & \mathcal{V}\times(\mathcal{V}^C)^\perp\\
& & & \overset{\eta}{\searrow} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle i_2}\\
& & & & \mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma,v_1+v_2).
\end{array}$$

Let $e(j_3)$ be the Euler class of the affine bundle p_3, which is the same as the Euler class of the affine bundle p_2. Recall the following diagram from (12)

(13)
$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \overset{l}{\frown} & & \\
T^*X & \xleftarrow{\iota} & G\times_P T^*Y & \xleftarrow{} & T^*_{G}X\\
{\scriptstyle b}\uparrow\ \uparrow{\scriptstyle \tilde{j}_2} & \overset{\phi}{\swarrow} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle j_2} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \overline{\phi}}\\
M & \xleftarrow[a]{} & Z & \xleftarrow{i} & Z_G
\end{array}$$

Lemma 3.6. *Notations as above. Under the isomorphism in Theorem B.1,*

$$j_{2*}: H^*_{c,P}(\mathrm{Rep}(\overline{Q},v_1)\times\mathrm{Rep}(\overline{Q},v_2)\times\mathrm{Rep}(C,v_1+v_2),\varphi_{\mathrm{tr}\,W_{v_1+v_2}})^\vee\to H^*_{c,P}(\mathcal{V}\times(\mathcal{V}^C)^\perp,\varphi_{\mathrm{tr}\,W_{v_1+v_2}})^\vee$$

is given by $\mathbb{D}\circ(p_{T^*_GX})_!l^*b_!a_!(\mathbb{Q}_Z\to j_{2*}\mathbb{Q}_{G\times_PT^*Y})$.

Proof. Recall that by [YZ15, Lemma 5.1], we have $Z=G\times_P Z'$ for some P-variety Z'. Let $\pi: G\times_P(Z'\times\mathrm{Rep}(C,v_1+v_2))\to G\times_P Z'=Z$ be the natural projection. By Theorem B.1, j_{2*} is

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{D}\circ(p_{T^*_GX})_!\pi_!\pi^*i_!i^*(\mathbb{Q}_Z\to j_{2*}\mathbb{Q}_{G\times_PT^*Y}) =&\mathbb{D}(p_Z)_!i_!i^*(\mathbb{Q}_Z\to j_{2*}\mathbb{Q}_{G\times_PT^*Y})\\
=&\mathbb{D}(p_{T^*_GX})_!\overline{\phi}_!i^*(\mathbb{Q}_Z\to j_{2*}\mathbb{Q}_{G\times_PT^*Y})\\
=&\mathbb{D}(p_{T^*_GX})_!l^*\phi_!(\mathbb{Q}_Z\to j_{2*}\mathbb{Q}_{G\times_PT^*Y})\\
=&\mathbb{D}(p_{T^*_GX})_!l^*b_!a_!(\mathbb{Q}_Z\to j_{2*}\mathbb{Q}_{G\times_PT^*Y}).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Let $e(a)$ and $e(j_2)$ be the Euler class of the two embeddings a and j_2. Note that $e(j_2)=e(j_3)$ and $e(a)=e(\iota)$.

Lemma 3.7. *Under the isomorphism in Theorem B.1, we have* $e(a)j_{2*}=\phi^\sharp e(j_2)$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.6, $e(a)j_{2*}$ is $\mathbb{D}(p_{T^*_GX})_!l^*$ applied to the following composition

$$b_!\Big(a_!\mathbb{Q}_Z\to\mathbb{Q}_M[-\dim a]\to a_*\mathbb{Q}_Z[-\dim a]=a_!\mathbb{Q}_Z[-\dim a]\to a_!j_{2*}\mathbb{Q}_{G\times_PT^*Y}[-\dim a]\Big).$$

By Lemma 2.13, $\phi^\sharp e(j_2)$ is $\mathbb{D}(p_{T^*_GX})_!l^*$ applied to the composition

$$b_!a_!\mathbb{Q}_Z\to\mathbb{Q}_{T^*X}[-\dim a-\dim b]=b_!\tilde{j}_{2!}\mathbb{Q}_{T^*X}[-\dim a-\dim b]\to b_!\mathbb{Q}_M[-\dim a]\to b_!\tilde{j}_{2*}\mathbb{Q}_{T^*X}[-\dim a].$$

We have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} a_!\mathbb{Q}_Z[\dim a] & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Q}_M & \longrightarrow & a_*\mathbb{Q}_Z & \to & a_!j_{2!}\mathbb{Q}_{G\times_P T^*Y} \\ \| & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ a_!\mathbb{Q}_Z[\dim a] & \to & \tilde{j}_{2!}\mathbb{Q}_{T^*X}[-\dim b] & \to & \mathbb{Q}_M & \longrightarrow & \tilde{j}_{2!}\mathbb{Q}_{T^*X} \end{array}$$

Applying the functor $(p_{T^*_GX})_!l^*b_![-\dim a]$ to the above commutative diagram gives the desired equality. □

Proof of Theorem 3.2. The composition of 3.2(2) and (3) in the multiplication on $\mathscr{H}$ of Kontsevich-Soibelman is equivalent to

$$\eta_*\circ p^* = i_{2*}\circ i_{3*}\circ p_3^*\circ p_1^* : H^*_{c,P}(\mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma,v_1)\times\mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma,v_2),\varphi_{\mathrm{tr}\,W_{v_1}\boxtimes W_{v_2}})^\vee \to H^*_{c,P}(\mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma,v_1+v_2),\varphi_{\mathrm{tr}\,W_{v_1+v_2}})^\vee.$$

The map p_1 is an affine bundle. By Lemma B.4, the vanishing cycle pullback p_1^* is the same as $\overline{p_1}^* : H^*_{c,P}(\mathfrak{R}(J_W^C,v_1)\times\mathfrak{R}(J_W^C,v_2))^\vee \to H^*_{c,P}(\mu_{v_1}^{-1}(0)\times\mu_{v_2}^{-1}(0)\times\mathcal{V}^C)^\vee$.

Also, p_3 is an affine bundle, with $\mathrm{tr}\,W$ on the total space obtained by pulling back from the base. Hence, j_{3*} is p_3^* followed by the Euler class of j_3. Note that $(i_3j_3)_* = (j_2i_1)_*$. Hence, $i_{3*}p_3^*$ is the same as $\frac{1}{e(j_3)}\circ(j_2i_1)_*$.

The map i_1 is a section of an affine bundle, where $\mathrm{tr}\,W_{v_1+v_2}|_{\mathrm{Rep}(\overline{Q},v_1)\times\mathrm{Rep}(\overline{Q},v_2)\times\mathrm{Rep}(C,v_1+v_2)}$ is pulled-back from $\mathrm{Rep}(\overline{Q},v_1)\times\mathrm{Rep}(\overline{Q},v_2)\times\mathcal{V}^C$. Hence, the vanishing cycle pushforward i_{1*} and the Borel-Moore homology pushforward $\overline{i_{1*}}$ are both multiplication by the Euler class, and therefore coincide. By Lemma 3.7, $\frac{1}{e(a)}\phi^\sharp\circ\overline{i_{1*}} = \frac{1}{e(j_3)}\circ(j_2i_1)_*$. Therefore, $i_{3*}p_3^* = \frac{1}{e(a)}\phi^\sharp\circ\overline{i_{1*}}$ under Theorem B.1.

By Lemma B.3, $\overline{i_{2*}} : H_{c,P}(\eta^{-1}(\mathfrak{R}(J_W^C,v_1+v_2))\times(\mathcal{V}^C)^\perp)^\vee \to H_{c,P}(\mathfrak{R}(J_W^C,v_1+v_2))^\vee$ coincides with

$$i_{2*} : H^*_{c,P}(\mathcal{V}\times(\mathcal{V}^C)^\perp,\varphi_{\mathrm{tr}\,W_{v_1+v_2}})^\vee \to H^*_{c,P}(\mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma,v_1+v_2),\varphi_{\mathrm{tr}\,W_{v_1+v_2}})^\vee.$$

Thus, Theorem 3.2 follows. □

The following is a consequence of Theorem 3.2 and Proposition 4.1.

Corollary 3.8. *The algebra ${}^{\mathrm{BM}}\mathcal{H}$ is isomorphic to $\bigoplus_{v\in\mathbb{N}^I} H^*_{c,G_v\times T}(\mathrm{Rep}(\widehat{Q},v),\varphi_{\mathrm{tr}\,W_v})$ with multiplication defined by the composition of morphisms 3.2(1), (2), (3), multiplication by $e(\iota)$ as in Proposition 4.1, and then 3.2(4).*

4. Conclusions

In this section, we compare the preprojective CoHA of Q and the critical CoHA defined by Kontsevich-Soibelman associated to the quiver with potential $(\widehat{Q},W)$. We do this by comparing $\mathcal{H}$ defined in § 2 with the critical CoHA defined by Kontsevich-Soibelman, and use the relation between $\mathcal{H}$ and the preprojective CoHA obtained in § 2.

4.1. **The main theorem.** Recall that (see [YZ15, § 3.2]) the equivariant Euler class of ι as in (13) is

$$e(\iota) = \prod_{\alpha\in I, i\in[1,v_1^\alpha], j\in[1,v_2^\alpha]} (\lambda_i^{\alpha'} - \lambda_j^{\alpha''} + t_1 + t_2)$$

Combining the definition of multiplication on $\mathscr{H}$ in § 3.1 with Proposition 2.5, we get the following.

Proposition 4.1. *(1) For $x\in\mathscr{H}_{v_1}$ and $y\in\mathscr{H}_{v_2}$, we have $m^{\mathrm{crit}}(x\otimes y) = \overline{\eta}_*\Big(\frac{1}{e(\iota)}\cdot\phi^\sharp(x\otimes y)\Big)$.*

(2) For $x \in \mathscr{H}_{v_1}$ and $y \in {}^{\mathrm{BM}}\mathcal{M}_{v_2}$, we have $a^{\mathrm{crit}}(m \otimes x) = \overline{\eta}_*\Big(\frac{1}{e(\iota)} \cdot \phi^\sharp(m \otimes x)\Big)$.

Assumption 4.2. *Assume the linear T-action on $\mathfrak{R}(J_W^C, v)$ is such that the action on $\mu_v^{-1}(0)$ satisfies* [YZ15, Assumption 3.1]*, and the action on $\mathrm{Rep}(C, v)$ is such that both $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}$-factors have weight -1.*

For any v_1, $v_2 \in \mathbb{N}^I$, define $(-1)^{v_1 \cdot v_2}$ to be $\prod_{i \in I}(-1)^{v_1^i v_2^i}$. Under Assumption 4.2, by definition of γ (10), we have

$$e(\gamma) = \prod_{\alpha \in I, i \in [1, v_1^\alpha], j \in [1, v_2^\alpha]} (\lambda_j^{\alpha''} - \lambda_i^{\alpha'} - t_1 - t_2).$$

Hence, $e(\gamma) = \prod_{i \in I}(-1)^{v_1^i v_2^i} e(\iota)$. Proposition 2.8 and the shuffle formula (6) give the following shuffle description of $\mathscr{H}$. Let $\mathcal{SH}^{\mathrm{crit}}$ be the critical shuffle algebra, which is isomorphic to the shuffle algebra $\mathcal{SH}$ as abelian groups. For $f_1 \in \mathcal{SH}^{\mathrm{crit}}_{v_1}$ and $f_2 \in \mathcal{SH}^{\mathrm{crit}}_{v_2}$, the multiplication $m^{\mathrm{crit}}_{v_1, v_2}(f_1 \otimes f_2)$ is given by the shuffle formula

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathrm{Sh}(v_1, v_2)} \sigma\big(f_1(\lambda_s'^i) \cdot f_2(\lambda_t''^j) \cdot \mathrm{fac}_1 \cdot \mathrm{fac}_2 \cdot (-1)^{v_1 \cdot v_2}\big), \tag{14}$$

where $\sigma \in \mathrm{Sh}(v_1, v_2)$ is the shuffle of the variables $(\lambda_s'^i)_{i \in I, s = 1, \dots, v_1^i}$ and $(\lambda_t''^j)_{j \in I, t = 1, \dots, v_2^j}$, and $\mathrm{fac}_1, \mathrm{fac}_2$ are given by (4) and (5).

Corollary 2.9 and Proposition 4.1 implies the following.

Corollary 4.3. *Under Assumption 4.2, there is an algebra homomorphism from $\mathscr{H}$ to $\mathcal{SH}^{\mathrm{crit}}$.*

Similar to § 2.6, we can consider ${}^{\mathrm{BM}}\mathcal{P} = \bigoplus_{v \in \mathbb{N}^I} H^{\mathrm{BM}}_{G_v \times T}(\mu_v^{-1}(0); \mathbb{Q})$ and its action $a^{\mathrm{prepr}} : {}^{\mathrm{BM}}\mathcal{P} \to \mathrm{End}({}^{\mathrm{BM}}\mathcal{M}(w))$. It follows from general theory of cycle map (see e.g. [Ful84, Ch19]) that the cycle map $\mathrm{cl} : {}^{\mathrm{CH}}\mathcal{P} \to {}^{\mathrm{BM}}\mathcal{P}$ is an algebra homomorphism, and intertwines their actions on ${}^{\mathrm{CH}}\mathcal{M}(w)$ and ${}^{\mathrm{BM}}\mathcal{M}(w)$ respectively.

Summarizing Propositions 2.8, 2.10, and 4.1 we obtain the main theorem of this section.

Theorem 4.4. *(1) Under Assumption 4.2, there is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{N}^I$-graded associative algebras $\Xi : {}^{\mathrm{BM}}\mathcal{P} \to \mathscr{H}$ whose restriction to the degree-v piece is*

$$\Xi_v : {}^{\mathrm{BM}}\mathcal{P}_v \to \mathscr{H}_v, \quad f \mapsto f \cdot (-1)^{\binom{v}{2}},$$

where $(-1)^{\binom{v}{2}} := \prod_{i \in I}(-1)^{\binom{v^i}{2}}$.

(2) In the setup of (1), we have

$$a^{\mathrm{crit}}\big(\Xi_{v_1}(x)\big)\big(m \cdot (-1)^{\binom{v_2}{2}}\big) = \big(a^{\mathrm{prepr}}(x)(m)\big) \cdot (-1)^{\binom{v_1 + v_2}{2}})$$

for any $w, v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{N}^I$, $x \in \mathcal{P}_{v_1}$, and $m \in H^{\mathrm{BM}}_{G_w \times T}(\mathfrak{M}(v_2, w))$.

In view of [YZ15, Theorem 7.3], we get the following.

Corollary 4.5. *Under Assumption 4.2, assume further that Q is a quiver without edge-loops, and $m(h), m(h^*)$ satisfies* [YZ15, Assumption 5.7(2)]*. There is an injective algebra homomorphism $Y^+_\hbar(\mathfrak{g}_Q) \to \widetilde{\mathscr{H}}|_{t_1 = t_2 = \hbar/2}$ where the target is the twist of $\mathscr{H}$ as in* [YZ15, § 5.6].

4.2. **Examples.**

Example 4.6. We keep notations as in Examples 2.2 and 2.3. Let Q be the Jordan quiver. Then $\widehat{Q}$ is the quiver with one vertex, and three arrows l, x and x^*. Let $W := l \cdot [x, x^*]$ be the potential as in Example 2.2. We denote the critical CoHA by $\mathscr{H}_{\widehat{Q}}$.

For the framing $w = 1$, let the potential $W^\heartsuit := l\cdot([x, x^*]+i\circ j)$ be as in Example 2.3. Consider the open subset $\mathrm{Rep}^s(\widetilde{Q^\heartsuit}, n) \subset \mathrm{Rep}(\widetilde{Q^\heartsuit}, n)$ consisting of representations such that the vector $\mathrm{Im}(i)$ is an acyclic vector under the operators l, x, x^*. Then, the critical locus $\mathrm{Crit}(\mathrm{tr}\, W^\heartsuit) \cap \mathrm{Rep}^s(\widetilde{Q^\heartsuit}, n)/\mathrm{GL}_n$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^3)$. In particular, the construction of [KoSo11] gives an action of $\mathscr{H}_{\widehat{Q}}$ on

$$M(\mathrm{Hilb}(\mathbb{C}^3)) := \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}} H^*_{c,T}(\mathrm{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^3), \varphi_{\mathrm{tr}\, W^\heartsuit})^\vee.$$

We take a further open subset $\mathrm{Rep}^o(\widetilde{Q^\heartsuit}, n) \subseteq \mathrm{Rep}^s(\widetilde{Q^\heartsuit}, n)$ consisting of representations such that $\mathrm{Im}(i)$ is an acyclic vector under the operators x, x^*. Thus, $\mathrm{Crit}(\mathrm{tr}\, W^\heartsuit) \cap \mathrm{Rep}^o(\widetilde{Q^\heartsuit}, n)/\mathrm{GL}_n$ is an open subset of $\mathrm{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^3)$. Define

$$M^o(\mathrm{Hilb}(\mathbb{C}^3)) := \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}} H^*_{c,T\times\mathrm{GL}_n}(\mathrm{Rep}^o(\widetilde{Q^\heartsuit}, n), \varphi_{\mathrm{tr}\, W^\heartsuit})^\vee.$$

Restriction to open subset induces a map $M(\mathrm{Hilb}(\mathbb{C}^3)) \to M^o(\mathrm{Hilb}(\mathbb{C}^3))$. Moreover, [Da15a, Theorem A.1] shows that

$$M^o(\mathrm{Hilb}(\mathbb{C}^3)) \cong \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}} H^*_{c,T\times\mathrm{GL}_n}(\mathfrak{R}^s(J^C_{W^\heartsuit}, n))^\vee.$$

Note that we also have $H^*_{c,T\times\mathrm{GL}_n}(\mathfrak{R}^s(J^C_{W^\heartsuit}, n))^\vee \cong H^*_{c,T}(\mathrm{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^2), \mathbb{Q})^\vee$, since $\mathfrak{R}^s(J^C_{W^\heartsuit}, n)/\mathrm{GL}_n$ is a vector bundle over $\mathrm{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^2)$. By Theorem 2.6, the action of $\mathscr{H}_{\widehat{Q}}$ on $M(\mathrm{Hilb}(\mathbb{C}^3))$ induces an action on $M^o(\mathrm{Hilb}(\mathbb{C}^3))$ and the restriction $M(\mathrm{Hilb}(\mathbb{C}^3)) \to M^o(\mathrm{Hilb}(\mathbb{C}^3))$ is a module homomorphism.

Since the action of $\mathscr{H}_{\widehat{Q}}$ on $M^o(\mathrm{Hilb}(\mathbb{C}^3))$ amounts to "adding points" in $\mathbb{C}^3$, while the $\mathcal{P}_Q$ action on $\bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}} H^*_{c,T}(\mathrm{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^2), \mathbb{Q})^\vee$ amounts "adding points" in $\mathbb{C}^2$, *a priori* there is no expected relation between these two actions. However, Theorem 4.4 gives a comparison between the action of $\mathscr{H}_{\widehat{Q}}$ on $M^0(\mathrm{Hilb}(\mathbb{C}^3))$ and the action of $\mathcal{P}_Q$ on $\bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}} H^*_{c,T}(\mathrm{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^2), \mathbb{Q})$.

Motivated by the study of geometric engineering (see, e.g., [Sz12]), Soibelman in [S14, § 1.4c)] proposed the problem of finding the relation between operators in the critical CoHA acting on the Pandharipande-Thomas moduli of resolved conifold $X = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1) \bigoplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)$ and the Nakajima-type operators on $\coprod_n \mathrm{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^2)$.

Example 4.7. Let Γ be the quiver with vertex set $\{x_0, x_1\}$ and arrow set $\{a_{01}, b_{01}, a_{10}, b_{10}\}$, with edges labeled ij pointing from vertex x_i to vertex x_j. Consider the Klebanov-Witten potential

$$W' = a_{01}a_{10}b_{01}b_{10} - a_{01}b_{10}b_{01}a_{10}.$$

Let $\mathscr{H}_\Gamma := \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}} H^*_{c,T\times\mathrm{GL}_n}(\mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma, n, n), \varphi_{\mathrm{tr}\, W'})^\vee$, where the dimension vector (n, n) has dimension n at both vertices x_0 and x_1. The construction of [KoSo11] endows $\mathscr{H}_\Gamma$ with an algebra structure.

Let $\Gamma^\heartsuit$ be the quiver that obtained from Γ by adding one vertex x_∞, and one arrow i from x_∞ to x_0. Consider the same potential W' on the new quiver $\Gamma^\heartsuit$. Consider the dimension vector $(n, n, 1)$ having dimension 1 at x_∞ and dimension n at both x_0 and x_1. The GIT quotient of $\mathrm{Crit}(\mathrm{tr}\, W') \cap \mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma^\heartsuit, n, n, 1)$ for suitable stability condition is isomorphic to the Pandharipande-Thomas moduli space of stable pairs on the resolved conifold X. However, as the critical CoHA naturally acts on the cohomology of the DT-moduli of X, we will consider the DT-moduli instead

of the PT-moduli. Let $\mathrm{Rep}^s(\Gamma^\heartsuit,n,n,1)$ be the open subset consisting of representations such that $\mathrm{Im}(i)$ is a cyclic vector under Γ. The construction of [KoSo11] gives an action of $\mathscr{H}_\Gamma$ on $M(\mathrm{Hilb}(X)):=\bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}}H^*_{c,T\times\mathrm{GL}_n}(\mathrm{Rep}^s(\Gamma^\heartsuit,n,n,1),\varphi_{\mathrm{tr}\,W})^\vee$.

Let $\mathrm{Rep}^o(\Gamma,n,n)\subset\mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma,n,n)$ and $\mathrm{Rep}^o(\Gamma^\heartsuit,n,n,1)\subset\mathrm{Rep}^s(\Gamma^\heartsuit,n,n,1)$ be the open subsets consisting of representations such that the linear map a_{10} is an isomorphism. Note that $\mathrm{Rep}^o(\Gamma,n,n)\cong\mathrm{Rep}(\widehat{Q},n)$ and $\mathrm{Rep}^o(\Gamma^\heartsuit,n,n,1)\cong\mathrm{Rep}^s(\widehat{Q^\heartsuit},n)$, where Q is as in Example 4.6. Furthermore, the potential W' becomes W and $W^\heartsuit$ on $\mathrm{Rep}^o(\Gamma,n,n)$ and $\mathrm{Rep}^o(\Gamma^\heartsuit,n,n,1)$ respectively. Therefore, restriction to open subsets induces natural maps $\mathscr{H}_\Gamma\to\mathscr{H}_{\widehat{Q}}$ which is an algebra homomorphism, and $M(\mathrm{Hilb}(X))\to M(\mathrm{Hilb}(\mathbb{C}^3))$ which is compatible with the actions of the two critical CoHAs.

The relation between the $\mathscr{H}_{\widehat{Q}}$-action on $M(\mathrm{Hilb}(\mathbb{C}^3))$ and the $\mathcal{P}_Q$-action on $\oplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}}H^*_{c,T}(\mathrm{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^2))$ has already been explained in Example 4.6, where the later action is via Nakajima operators (see [YZ15, Theorem 5.6]). Indirectly, we have a relation between the latter and the $\mathscr{H}_\Gamma$-action on $M(\mathrm{Hilb}(X))$.

Appendix A. The algebras $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{H}$

In this section, we interpret Proposition 2.8 and 2.10 in terms of an algebra homomorphism from $\mathcal{P}$ to $\mathcal{H}$ twisted by the sign representation of symmetric group. We work in the setup of § 2 with $A=\mathrm{CH}$, and under the following assumption.

Assumption A.1. *Assume the linear T-action on $\mathfrak{R}(J_W^C,v)$ is such that the action on $\mu_v^{-1}(0)$ satisfies* [YZ15, Assumption 3.1]*, and the action on* $\mathrm{Rep}(C,v)$ *is trivial.*

A.1. **The sign-twist functor.** We twist the auxiliary shuffle algebra $\mathcal{SH}^{\mathrm{aux}}=\bigoplus_{v\in\mathbb{N}^I}\mathcal{SH}^{\mathrm{aux}}_v$ by the sign representation as follows.

Let ϵ_n be the sign representation of the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_n$. For a dimension vector $v\in\mathbb{N}^I$, denote by $\epsilon_v=\otimes_{i\in I}\epsilon_{v^i}$ the sign representation of $\mathfrak{S}_v=\prod_{i\in I}\mathfrak{S}_{v^i}$. For each $v\in\mathbb{N}^I$, define

$$\mathcal{SH}^{\mathrm{aux},\epsilon}_v:=(R[\![t_1,t_2]\!][\![\lambda^i_s]\!]_{i\in I,s=1,\dots,v^i}\otimes\epsilon_v)^{\mathfrak{S}_v}.$$

Therefore, for $f\in\mathcal{SH}^{\mathrm{aux},\epsilon}_v$, for any $\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_v$, we have $\sigma(f)=\mathrm{sign}(\sigma)f$. In other words, $\mathcal{SH}^{\mathrm{aux},\epsilon}_v$ consists of skew-symmetric polynomials.

Define the skew auxiliary shuffle algebra to be $\mathcal{SH}^{\mathrm{aux},\epsilon}=\bigoplus_{v\in\mathbb{N}^I}\mathcal{SH}^{\mathrm{aux},\epsilon}_v$ as abelian group. The multiplication of $\mathcal{SH}^{\mathrm{aux},\epsilon}$ is given by a twisted version of the formula (11)

$$m^{\mathrm{aux},\epsilon}_{v_1,v_2}(f_1\otimes f_2)=\sum_{\sigma\in\mathrm{Sh}(v_1,v_2)}\mathrm{sign}(\sigma)\sigma\Big(f_1\cdot f_2\cdot\mathrm{fac}_1\cdot\mathrm{fac}_2\cdot\prod_{\substack{\alpha\in I,i\in[1,v_1^\alpha],\\ j\in[v_1^\alpha+1,v_1^\alpha+v_2^\alpha]}}(\lambda^\alpha_j-\lambda^\alpha_i)\Big),\tag{15}$$

where $\sigma\in\mathrm{Sh}(v_1,v_2)$ is the shuffle of the variables $(\lambda'^i_s)_{i\in I,s=1,\dots,v_1^i}$ and $(\lambda''^j_t)_{j\in I,t=1,\dots,v_2^j}$, and $\mathrm{fac}_1,\mathrm{fac}_2$ are given by (4) and (5).

Let $e(\mathfrak{n}_v):=\prod_{\alpha\in I,1\le i<j\le v^\alpha}(\lambda^\alpha_j-\lambda^\alpha_i)$. Note that we have

$$\sigma(e(\mathfrak{n}_v))=\mathrm{sign}(\sigma)e(\mathfrak{n}_v),\ \text{ for }\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_v.$$

Proposition A.2. *There is an algebra homomorphism $\Xi:\mathcal{SH}^{\mathrm{prepr}}\to\mathcal{SH}^{\mathrm{aux},\epsilon}$, given by*

$$\Xi_v:\mathcal{SH}^{\mathrm{prepr}}_v\to\mathcal{SH}^{\mathrm{aux},\epsilon}_v,\ \ f\mapsto f\cdot e(\mathfrak{n}_v).$$

Proof. It suffices to show that

$$m^{\mathrm{aux},\epsilon}(f_1\cdot e(\mathfrak{n}_{v_1})\otimes f_2\cdot e(\mathfrak{n}_{v_2}))=m^{\mathrm{prepr}}(f_1\otimes f_2)\cdot e(\mathfrak{n}_{v_1+v_2}).$$

It follows from the following equality

$$\begin{aligned}
&m^{\mathrm{aux},\epsilon}(f_1\cdot e(\mathfrak{n}_{v_1})\otimes f_2\cdot e(\mathfrak{n}_{v_2}))\\
=&\sum_{\sigma\in \mathrm{Sh}(v_1,v_2)}\mathrm{sign}(\sigma)\sigma\Big(f_1\cdot e(\mathfrak{n}_{v_1})f_2\cdot e(\mathfrak{n}_{v_2})\,\mathrm{fac}_1\,\mathrm{fac}_2\prod_{\substack{\alpha\in I, i\in[1,v_1^\alpha],\\ j\in[v_1^\alpha+1,v_1^\alpha+v_2^\alpha]}}(\lambda_j^\alpha-\lambda_i^\alpha)\Big)\\
=&\sum_{\sigma\in \mathrm{Sh}(v_1,v_2)}\mathrm{sign}(\sigma)\sigma\Big(f_1f_2\,\mathrm{fac}_1\,\mathrm{fac}_2\cdot e(\mathfrak{n}_{v_1+v_2})\Big)\\
=&\sum_{\sigma\in \mathrm{Sh}(v_1,v_2)}\sigma\Big(f_1f_2\,\mathrm{fac}_1\,\mathrm{fac}_2\Big)\cdot e(\mathfrak{n}_{v_1+v_2})=m^P(f_1\otimes f_2)\cdot e(\mathfrak{n}_{v_1+v_2}).
\end{aligned}$$

□

The assignment sending $\mathcal{SH}^{\mathrm{aux}}$ to $\mathcal{SH}^{\mathrm{aux},\epsilon}$ has the effect of sending the space of symmetric polynomials to the space of skew-symmetric polynomials. Next, we show that this assignment is a functor, called the sign-twist functor. This is a geometric interpretation of the formula (15) and Proposition A.2. Readers only interested in the combinatorial aspects of the shuffle algebra can skip the rest of § A.1.

Let $S=R[\![t_1,t_2]\!][\![\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_n]\!]$ be the polynomial ring with n variables. The projective objects in $S\rtimes\mathfrak{S}_n$-mod are $\{P_\eta:=S\otimes\eta\}$, where η runs over all irreducible representation of $\mathfrak{S}_n$. Let

$$e_{\mathrm{triv}}:=\sum_{\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_n}\frac{\sigma}{n!}\quad\text{and}\quad e_{\mathrm{sign}}:=\sum_{\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_n}\mathrm{sign}(\sigma)\frac{\sigma}{n!}$$

be the two idempotent elements. In particular, we have $P_{\mathrm{triv}}=(S\rtimes\mathfrak{S}_n)e_{\mathrm{triv}}$ and $P_{\mathrm{sign}}=(S\rtimes\mathfrak{S}_n)e_{\mathrm{sign}}$ in $S\rtimes\mathfrak{S}_n$-mod. We also have the following isomorphism

$$S^{\mathfrak{S}_n}\cong e_{\mathrm{triv}}(S\rtimes\mathfrak{S}_n)e_{\mathrm{triv}},\ \ a\mapsto ae_{\mathrm{triv}}.$$

For simplicity, we write $\Lambda:=\mathrm{End}(P_{\mathrm{sign}})=e_{\mathrm{sign}}(S\rtimes\mathfrak{S}_n)e_{\mathrm{sign}}$. Consider the following two pairs of adjunctions

$$S^{\mathfrak{S}_n}\text{-mod}\ \underset{\mathcal{G}}{\overset{\mathcal{F}}{\rightleftarrows}}\ S\rtimes\mathfrak{S}_n\text{-mod}\ \underset{\mathcal{F}'}{\overset{\mathcal{G}'}{\rightleftarrows}}\ \Lambda\text{-mod},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{F}:M\mapsto P_{\mathrm{triv}}\otimes_{S^{\mathfrak{S}_n}}M && \mathcal{G}:N\mapsto \mathrm{Hom}_{S\rtimes\mathfrak{S}_n}(P_{\mathrm{triv}},N)\\
&\mathcal{F}':M\mapsto P_{\mathrm{sign}}\otimes_{\Lambda}M && \mathcal{G}':N\mapsto \mathrm{Hom}_{S\rtimes\mathfrak{S}_n}(P_{\mathrm{sign}},N).
\end{aligned}$$

More generally, we consider $W_G=\mathfrak{S}_v:=\prod_{i\in I}\mathfrak{S}_{v^i}$, and the subgroup $W_P=\mathfrak{S}_{v_1}\times\mathfrak{S}_{v_2}$, for $v=v_1+v_2$. Without causing confusion, the trivial and sign idempotent of W_G will also be denoted by e_{triv} and e_{sign}, and the two corresponding projective objects by $\widetilde{P}_{\mathrm{triv}}$ and $\widetilde{P}_{\mathrm{sign}}$. We have the following diagram with functors among the module categories involve W_G and those involve W_P. (For the notation, we add a tilde for objects involve W_P.)

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
S^{W_P}\text{-mod} & \xleftarrow{\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}} & S\rtimes W_P\text{-mod} & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}'} & \widetilde{\Lambda}\text{-mod}\\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{Res}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{Ind}_{W_P}^{W_G}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{Res}}\\
S^{W_G}\text{-mod} & \xleftarrow{\mathcal{G}} & S\rtimes W_G\text{-mod} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{G}'} & \Lambda\text{-mod}.
\end{array}\tag{16}$$

Lemma A.3. *Diagram (16) commutes. Similar for the diagram with all the arrows replaced by their adjoints.*

Proof. We show $\mathrm{Res}\circ\widetilde{\mathcal{G}} = \mathcal{G}\circ \mathrm{Ind}_{W_P}^{W_G}$. The induction functor $\mathrm{Ind}_{W_P}^{W_G} := (S \rtimes W_G)\otimes_{(S\rtimes W_P)} -$ and the co-induction functor $\mathrm{CoInd}_{W_P}^{W_G} = \mathrm{Hom}_{S\rtimes W_P}(S\rtimes W_G, -)$ are equivalent. Then, for any module V of $S\rtimes W_P$, we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{S\rtimes W_P}(\widetilde{P}_{\mathrm{triv}}, V) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{S\rtimes W_P}(\mathrm{Res}\, P_{\mathrm{triv}}, V) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{S\rtimes W_G}(P_{\mathrm{triv}}, \mathrm{Ind}_{W_P}^{W_G} V).$$

The commutativity of the right square follows from a similar argument. □

The composition of functor $\mathcal{G}'\circ\mathcal{F}$ is denoted by $(-)^\epsilon$, called the *sign-twist functor*. The effect of $(-)^\epsilon$ is changing the symmetric polynomials in S^{W_G} to skew-symmetric polynomials in $(S\otimes\epsilon)^{W_G}$.

Now we follow the setup as in [YZ15, Corollary 1.7]. Let $p : B\,\mathrm{GL}_r \times B\,\mathrm{GL}_{n-r} \cong \mathrm{Grass}(r, \mathcal{R}(n)) \to \mathrm{Grass}(n,\infty) \cong B\,\mathrm{GL}_n$ be the natural projection. Let

$$p_* : \mathrm{CH}(B\,\mathrm{GL}_r \times B\,\mathrm{GL}_{n-r}) \cong \mathbb{Q}[\![\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_n]\!]^{\mathfrak{S}_r\times\mathfrak{S}_{n-r}} \to \mathrm{CH}(B\,\mathrm{GL}_n) \cong \mathbb{Q}[\![\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_n]\!]^{\mathfrak{S}_n}$$

be the push-forward map. We write $W_G = \mathfrak{S}_n$ and $W_P = \mathfrak{S}_r\times\mathfrak{S}_{n-r}$. Define

$$S_{loc} = R[\![t_1,t_2]\!][\![\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_n]\!][\frac{1}{\prod_{1\le i\ne j\le n}(\lambda_i-\lambda_j)}].$$

Proposition A.4. *With notations as above, applying $\mathcal{G}'\circ\mathcal{F}$ to the pushforward p_*, we get*

$$p_*^\epsilon : (S\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}\epsilon)^{W_P} \to (S\otimes\epsilon)^{W_G},$$

The formula of p_*^ϵ is given by:

$$p_*^\epsilon : f(\lambda_1\dots,\lambda_n) \mapsto \sum_{\{\sigma\in Sh_{(r,n-r)}\}} \mathrm{sign}(\sigma)\sigma\frac{f(\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_n)}{\prod_{1\le j\le r, r+1\le i\le n}(\lambda_i-\lambda_j)},$$

where $\lambda_1\dots\lambda_n$ are Chern roots of V in CH.

Note that this formula, a priori well-defined as a map $(S_{loc}\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}\epsilon)^{W_P} \to (S_{loc}\otimes\epsilon)^{W_G}$, sends $(S\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}\epsilon)^{W_P}$ to $(S\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}\epsilon)^{W_G}$.

Proof. For any $S^{\mathfrak{S}_n}$-module M, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{G}'\circ\mathcal{F}(M) =& \mathrm{Hom}_{S\rtimes\mathfrak{S}_n}(P_{\mathrm{sign}}, P_{\mathrm{triv}}\otimes_{S^{\mathfrak{S}_n}} M)\\ =& \mathrm{Hom}_{S\rtimes\mathfrak{S}_n}(P_{\mathrm{triv}}\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}\epsilon, P_{\mathrm{triv}}\otimes_{S^{\mathfrak{S}_n}} M)\\ =& M\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}\epsilon\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, p_*^ϵ is a map from $(S\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}\epsilon)^{W_P} \cong S^{W_P}\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}\epsilon$ to $(S\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}\epsilon)^{W_G}$.

Note that p_* is an element in $\mathrm{Hom}_{S^{\mathfrak{S}_n}}(\mathrm{Res}\, S^{W_P}, S^{W_G})$. Using the adjoint pair $(\mathrm{Res},\mathrm{CoInd})$ in diagram (16) between S^{W_P}-mod and S^{W_G}-mod, we get

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{S^{W_G}}(\mathrm{Res}\, S^{W_P}, S^{W_G}) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{S^{W_P}}(S^{W_P}, \mathrm{CoInd}_{S^{W_G}}^{S^{W_P}} S^{W_G}) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{S^{W_P}}(S^{W_P}, S^{W_P})$$

Let $\tilde{p}_* : S^{W_P} \to S^{W_P}$ be the S^{W_P}-module homomorphism corresponding to p_* under the isomorphisms above. Then, $\tilde{p}_* : S_{loc}^{W_P} \to S_{loc}^{W_P}$ is giving by

$$\tilde{p}_* : f(\lambda_1\dots,\lambda_n) \mapsto \frac{f}{eu},\ \text{where } eu = \prod_{1\le j\le r, r+1\le i\le n}(\lambda_i-\lambda_j).$$

We then apply the functor $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}' \circ \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$ to the map $\tilde{p}_*$. This gives a $\widetilde{\Lambda}$-module homomorphism:

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}' \circ \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}(\tilde{p}_*) : (S_{loc} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \epsilon)^{W_P} \to (S_{loc} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \epsilon)^{W_P}, f \mapsto \frac{f}{eu},$$

since the term $eu = \prod_{1\le j\le r, r+1\le i\le n}(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)$ is W_P-invariant.

Finally, applying the adjoint pair $(\mathrm{Res}, \mathrm{CoInd})$ in (16) between $\widetilde{\Lambda}$-mod and Λ-mod, we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{Hom}_{\widetilde{\Lambda}}((S \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \epsilon)^{W_P}, (S \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \epsilon)^{W_P}) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\widetilde{\Lambda}}((S \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \epsilon)^{W_P}, \mathrm{CoInd}(S \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \epsilon)^{W_G})\\ \cong &\mathrm{Hom}_{\Lambda}((S \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \epsilon)^{W_P}, (S \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \epsilon)^{W_G}).\end{aligned}$$

According to Lemma A.3, p^{ϵ}_* corresponds to $\widetilde{p}_*$ under the above isomorphism. Therefore, p^{ϵ}_* is given by $f \mapsto \sum_{\{\sigma\in \mathrm{Sh}(r,n-r)\}} \mathrm{sign}(\sigma)\sigma\frac{f}{eu}$. □

It follows that the skew shuffle algebra in (15) is obtained from (11) via the sign-twist functor.

A.2. **An algebra homomorphism from $\mathcal{P}$ to the twisted $\mathcal{H}$.** In this section, we compare ${}^{\mathrm{CH}}\mathcal{P}(Q)$ with $\mathcal{H}^{\epsilon}$, as well as their actions on the homology of the Nakajima quiver varieties.

We apply the sign-twist functor $(-)^{\epsilon}$ to the auxiliary CoHA $\mathcal{H}$ in Proposition 2.4. More precisely, consider $\mathcal{H}^{\epsilon}$ with multiplication

$$m^{\mathrm{aux},\epsilon}_{v_1,v_2} : \mathcal{H}^{\epsilon}_{v_1} \otimes \mathcal{H}^{\epsilon}_{v_2} \to \mathcal{H}^{\epsilon}_{v_1+v_2}$$

obtained by applying $(-)^{\epsilon}$ to $m^{\mathrm{aux}}_{v_1,v_2}$ for any $v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{N}^I$. It follows immediately from definition that there is an algebra homomorphism $\mathcal{H}^{\epsilon} \to \mathcal{SH}^{\mathrm{aux},\epsilon}$.

Proposition A.5. *There is an algebra homomorphism $\Xi : \mathcal{P} \to \mathcal{H}^{\epsilon}$, given by*

$$\Xi_v : \mathcal{P}_v \to \mathcal{H}^{\epsilon}_v, \quad f \mapsto f \cdot e(\mathfrak{n}_v),$$

where $e(\mathfrak{n}_v) = \prod_{\alpha\in I, 1\le i<j\le v^{\alpha}}(\lambda^{\alpha}_j -_F \lambda^{\alpha}_i)$.

Proof. It suffices to show the following

$$m^P(f_1 \otimes f_2) \cdot e(\mathfrak{n}_{v_1+v_2}) = m^{\mathrm{aux},\epsilon}\big(f_1 e(\mathfrak{n}_{v_1}) \otimes f_2 e(\mathfrak{n}_{v_2})\big).$$

By Proposition 2.8 and definition of the sign-twist, we have

$$\begin{aligned}m^{\mathrm{aux},\epsilon}\big(f_1 e(\mathfrak{n}_{v_1}) \otimes f_2 e(\mathfrak{n}_{v_2})\big) =&\overline{\psi_*}^{\epsilon}\big(e^{\iota}(\mathcal{N}) \cdot \phi^{\sharp,\epsilon}(f_1 e(\mathfrak{n}_{v_1}) \otimes f_2 e(\mathfrak{n}_{v_2}))\big)\\ =&\overline{\psi_*}^{\epsilon}\big(f_1 \cdot f_2 \cdot e(\mathfrak{n}_{v_1+v_2})\big)\\ =&\overline{\psi_*}(f_1 \cdot f_2) \cdot e(\mathfrak{n}_{v_1+v_2}) = m^{\mathrm{prepr}}(f_1 \otimes f_2) \cdot e(\mathfrak{n}_{v_1+v_2}).\end{aligned}$$

Here ϕ and $\overline{\phi}$ are as in § 1.2. This completes the proof. □

Let $\mathcal{M}(v,w) = A_{G_v\times G_w\times T}(\mu^{-1}_{v,w}(0)^{ss})$ be the equivariant oriented Borel-Moore homology of the Nakajima quiver varieties. For any $v \in \mathbb{N}^I$, we know $\mathcal{M}(v,w)$ is an $A_{G_v}(\mathrm{pt})$ module.

Applying the sign-twist functor $(-)^{\epsilon}$ to the action a^{aux} of auxiliary CoHA on $\mathcal{M}(w)$, we get an action of the skew auxiliary CoHA $\mathcal{H}^{\epsilon}$ on $\mathcal{M}(w)^{\epsilon}$, the skew version of homology of the Nakajima quiver varieties.

Proposition A.6. *Let $\Xi : \mathcal{P} \to \mathcal{H}^{\epsilon}$ be the map in Theorem A.5. Then*

$$a^{\mathrm{aux},\epsilon}\big((m \cdot e(\mathfrak{n}_{v_1})) \otimes \Xi(x)\big) = \big(a^{\mathrm{prepr}}(m \otimes x)\big) \cdot e(\mathfrak{n}_{v_1+v_2})$$

for any $w, v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{N}^I$, $x \in \mathcal{P}_{v_2}$, and $m \in \mathcal{M}(v_1, w)$.

Proof. The statement is equivalent to the equality:

$$a^{\mathrm{aux},\epsilon}\big(m\cdot e(\mathfrak{n}_{v_1})\otimes x\cdot e(\mathfrak{n}_{v_2})\big)=a^{\mathrm{prepr}}(m\otimes x)e(\mathfrak{n}_{v_1+v_2}).$$

The rest of the proof is similar as the proof of Theorem A.5. □

This theorem implies that, up to the factor $e(\mathfrak{n}_v)$ which depends only on the dimension vector $v\in\mathbb{N}^I$, the $\mathcal{P}$ action on $\mathcal{M}(w)$ comes from the action of $\mathcal{H}^\epsilon$ via restriction of scalars.

Appendix B. Borel-Moore homology and critical cohomology

In this section, we show the compatibility of push-forward and pull-back in the Borel-Moore homology and the critical cohomology.

B.1. **From the critical cohomology to ordinary cohomology.** We compare the critical cohomology with the ordinary cohomology in this section, following Appendix of [Da15a]. Let $\pi: Y:=X\times\mathbb{A}^n\to X$ be the trivial vector bundle, carrying a scaling $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}$ action on the fiber $\mathbb{A}^n$. Let $f: Y=X\times\mathbb{A}^n\to\mathbb{A}^1$ be a $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}$–equivariant function. Define $Z\subset X$ to be the reduced scheme consisting of points $z\in X$, such that $\pi^{-1}(z)\subset f^{-1}(0)$. To summarize the notations, we have the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Z\times\mathbb{A}^n & \xrightarrow{i\times\mathrm{id}} & X\times\mathbb{A}^n & & \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_Z} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi} & \searrow^{p_Y} & \\ Z & \xrightarrow{i} & X & \xrightarrow{p} & \mathrm{pt}. \end{array}$$

Let φ_f be the vanishing cycle functor for f. Following the convention of [Da15a], we consider φ_f as a functor $D^b(Y)\to D^b(Y)$ between the derived categories of $Y=X\times\mathbb{A}^n$. By an abuse of notation, we will abbreviate the vanishing cycle complex $\varphi_f\mathbb{Q}_Y[-1]$ to φ_f. The support of φ_f is on the critical locus of φ_f. Let $H^*_{c,G_v}(X)$ be the equivariant cohomology with compact support. Let $H^*_{c,G_v}(X)^\vee$ be its Verdier dual.

Theorem B.1 ([Da15a], Theorem A.1)**.** *There is a natural isomorphism of functors $D^b(X)\to D^b(X)$, $\pi_!\varphi_f\pi^*[-1]\cong\pi_!\pi^*i_*i^*$. In particular, we have $H^*_{c,G}(Y,\varphi_f)\cong H^*_{c,G}(Z\times\mathbb{A}^n,\mathbb{Q})$.*

Indeed, by definition, we have

$$H^*_c(Y,\varphi_f)=p_{Y!}\varphi_f[-1](\mathbb{Q}_Y)=p_!\pi_!\varphi_f[-1](\pi^*(\mathbb{Q}_X)).$$

And we have the isomorphism

$$H^*_c(Z\times\mathbb{A}^n,\mathbb{Q})=p_!\pi_!(i\times\mathrm{id})_!\mathbb{Q}_{Z\times\mathbb{A}^n}=p_!\pi_!(i\times\mathrm{id})_*\pi_Z^*\mathbb{Q}_Z=p_!\pi_!\pi^*i_*i^*\mathbb{Q}_X.$$

Thus, the isomorphism of the cohomology follows from the isomorphism of the two functors in the Theorem, which is shown in [Da15a, Theorem A.1].

Proposition B.2. [Da15a, Proposition A.8] *The following diagram of isomorphisms commutes.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^*_c(f_1^{-1}(0),\varphi_{f_1})\otimes H^*_c(f_2^{-1}(0),\varphi_{f_2}) & \xrightarrow{TS} & H^*_c(f_1^{-1}(0)\times f_2^{-1}(0),\varphi_{f_1\boxplus f_2}) \\ \downarrow\cong & & \downarrow\cong \\ H^*_c(Z_1\times\mathbb{A}^{n_1},\mathbb{Q})\otimes H^*_c(Z_2\times\mathbb{A}^{n_2},\mathbb{Q}) & \xrightarrow{Ku} & H^*_c(Z_1\times Z_2\times\mathbb{A}^{n_1+n_2},\mathbb{Q}), \end{array}$$

where TS is the Thom-Sebastiani isomorphism, Ku is the Künneth isomorphism, and the vertical isomorphisms are as in Theorem A.1 of [Da15a].

B.2. **Compatibility of push-forward and pullback.** In this section, we show the isomorphism in Theorem B.1 is compatible with pullback and proper pushforward.

Let $g : X \to X'$ be a morphism and $g \times h : Y = X \times \mathbb{A}^n \to Y' = X' \times \mathbb{A}^m$ be the morphism of the trivial bundles, where $h : \mathbb{A}^n \to \mathbb{A}^m$ is a linear morphism. Let $f' : X' \times \mathbb{A}^m \to \mathbb{A}^1$ be a function, and $f := f' \circ (g \times h)$. To summarize the notations, we have the diagram:

(17)



Lemma B.3. *With notations as above, assume g is a proper morphism, $m = n$ and h is the identity map. Then, the following diagram commutes.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_c^*(X \times \mathbb{A}^n, \varphi_f)^\vee & \xrightarrow{(g\times h)_*} & H_c^*(X' \times \mathbb{A}^m, \varphi_{f'})^\vee \\ \cong\downarrow & & \cong\downarrow \\ H_c^*(Z \times \mathbb{A}^n, \mathbb{Q})^\vee & \xrightarrow{(g_Z\times h)_*} & H_c^*(Z' \times \mathbb{A}^m, \mathbb{Q})^\vee. \end{array}$$

In the diagram, the vertical isomorphisms are given in Theorem B.1.

Proof. By definition, the commutativity of the diagram is equivalent to the commutativity of the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} p_{X'\times\mathbb{A}^m!}\varphi_{f'}[-1]\Big(\mathbb{Q}_{X'\times\mathbb{A}^m} & \longrightarrow & (g\times h)_*\mathbb{Q}_{X\times\mathbb{A}^n}\Big) \\ \downarrow\cong & & \downarrow\cong \\ p_{X'\times\mathbb{A}^m!}(i'\times\mathrm{id})_*(i'\times\mathrm{id})^*\Big(\mathbb{Q}_{X'\times\mathbb{A}^m} & \longrightarrow & (g\times h)_*\mathbb{Q}_{X\times\mathbb{A}^n}\Big). \end{array}$$

Applying the two functors

$$F = p_{X'!}(\pi_{X'})_!\varphi_{f'}(\pi_{X'})^*[-1], \;\; G = p_{X'!}(\pi_{X'})_!(\pi_{X'})^* i'_* i'^*$$

to the morphism $\big(\mathbb{Q}_{X'} \to g_*\mathbb{Q}_X\big)$ gives the desired commutativity. □

Now we consider pullbacks.

Lemma B.4. *With notations as in diagram (17), we assume that g is an affine bundle and h is a projection, and that the top square is Cartesian. Then, the following diagram commutes.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_c^*(X' \times \mathbb{A}^m, \varphi_{f'})^\vee & \xrightarrow{(g\times h)^*} & H_c^*(X \times \mathbb{A}^n, \varphi_f)^\vee \\ \cong\downarrow & & \cong\downarrow \\ H_c^*(Z' \times \mathbb{A}^m, \mathbb{Q})^\vee & \xrightarrow{(g\times h)^*} & H_c^*(Z \times \mathbb{A}^n, \mathbb{Q})^\vee. \end{array}$$

In the diagram, the vertical isomorphisms are given in Theorem B.1.

Proof. In the case $m = n$, and h is the identity map. The commutativity is equivalent to the commutativity of the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} p_{X'\times\mathbb{A}^m!}\varphi_{f'}[-1]\Big((g\times h)_!\mathbb{Q}_{X\times\mathbb{A}^n} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Q}_{X'\times\mathbb{A}^m}[\dim(g\times h)]\Big) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ p_{X'\times\mathbb{A}^m!}(i'\times\mathrm{id})_*(i'\times\mathrm{id})^*\Big((g\times h)_!\mathbb{Q}_{X\times\mathbb{A}^n} & \to & \mathbb{Q}_{X'\times\mathbb{A}^m}[\dim(g\times h)]\Big). \end{array}$$

Applying the two functors

$$F = p_{X'\times\mathbb{A}^n!}\varphi_{f'}\pi^*_{X'}[-1],\ \ G = p_{X'\times\mathbb{A}^n!}\pi^*_{X'}i'_*i'^*$$

to the morphism $\big(g_!\mathbb{Q}_X \to \mathbb{Q}_{X'}[\dim g]\big)$ gives the desired commutativity.

In general, replace the embedding $i : Z \hookrightarrow X$ by $i\times\mathrm{id} : Z\times\mathbb{A}^{n-m} \hookrightarrow X\times\mathbb{A}^{n-m}$, and replace g by the composition $\tilde{g} : X\times\mathbb{A}^{n-m} \to X \to X'$. The map $g\times h$ is the same as $\tilde{g}\times\mathrm{id}$. The previous argument shows the square commutes. □

References

[BBS13] K. Behrend, J. Bryan, and B. Szendrői, *Motivic degree zero Donaldson-Thomas invariants.* Invent. Math. **192** (2013), no. 1, 111–160 MR3032328

[Da15a] B. Davison, *The critical CoHA of a quiver with potential*, preprint, (2015). arXiv:1311.7172

[DWZ08] H. Derksen, J. Weyman, A. Zelevinsky, *Quivers with potentials and their representations. I. Mutations*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) 14 (2008), no. 1, 59–119. MR2480710

[D86] V. G. Drinfeld, *Quantum groups*, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians 1986, Vol. 1, 798–820, AMS 1987.

[Ful84] W. Fulton, *Intersection theory.* Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3), 2. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984. xi+470 pp. MR0732620

[Gin06] V. Ginzburg, *Calabi-Yau algebras.* Preprint, (2006). arXiv:0612139.

[Gin09] V. Ginzburg, *Lectures on Nakajima's Quiver Varieties.* Preprint, (2009). arXiv:0905.0686

[KaSa08] K. Kato, T. Saito, *Ramification theory for varieties over a perfect field*, Ann. of Math. (2) **168** (2008), no. 1, 33–96. MR2415398

[KoSo11] M. Kontsevich, Y. Soibelman, *Cohomological Hall algebra, exponential Hodge structures and motivic Donaldson-Thomas invariants*, Commun. Number Theory Phys. **5** (2011), no. 2, 231–352. MR2851153

[LM07] M. Levine, F. Morel, *Algebraic cobordism theory*, Springer, Berlin, 2007. MR2286826

[Nak01] H. Nakajima, *Quiver varieties and finite dimensional representations of quantum affine algebras*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **14** (2001), no. **1**, 145–238. MR1808477 arXiv:9912158

[RS15] J. Ren and Y. Soibelman, *Cohomological Hall algebras, semicanonical bases and Donaldson-Thomas invariants for 2-dimensional Calabi-Yau categories*, Preprint, (2015). arXiv:1508.06068

[SV12] O. Schiffmann, E. Vasserot, *The elliptic Hall algebra and the K-theory of the Hilbert scheme of* $\mathbb{A}^2$. Duke Math. J. **162** (2013), no. 2, 279–366. MR3018956

[S14] Y. Soibelman, *Remarks on Cohomological Hall algebras and their representations*, preprint. arXiv:1404.1606

[Sz12] B. Szendrői, *Nekrasov's partition function and refined Donaldson-Thomas theory: the rank one case*, SIGMA, 2012, Volume 8, 088, 1–16. MR3007271

[Va00] M. Varagnolo, *Quiver varieties and Yangians*, Lett. Math. Phys. **53** (2000), no. 4, 273–283. MR1818101

[YZ15] Y. Yang and G. Zhao, *The cohomological Hall algebra of a preprojective algebra*, preprint, (2015). arXiv:1407.7994

[YZ1] Y. Yang and G. Zhao, *Cohomological Hall algebras and affine quantum groups*, in preparation.

[YZ2] Y. Yang and G. Zhao, *Quiver varieties and elliptic quantum groups*, in preparation.

Department of Mathematics, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA, 01003, USA
E-mail address: yaping@math.umass.edu

Department of Mathematics, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA, 01003, USA
E-mail address: gufangzhao@zju.edu.cn